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CONTROL OF PLAGUE TRANSMISSION
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Plague is primarily a disease of wild rodents, transmitted from one wild rodent to another or from wild rodents to commensal rodents and to humans through fleas. Control of transmission is directed at controlling the rodent reservoirs and flea vectors of the disease. As will be discussed below, during outbreaks immediate control of flea vectors should precede any measures against rodent hosts. As a first step in ensuring preparedness for plague outbreaks, known endemic foci should be identified and essential information accumulated on the epidemiology and epizooiology of the infection. Such information should include the seasonality of past outbreaks and the identity of rodent reservoirs and flea vectors. If it is anticipated that plague control measures may have to be carried out at some time in the focus, baseline data should be gathered on factors likely to affect control. These include the insecticide susceptibility status of the most important flea vectors to insecticides likely to be used, seasonal variations in flea population densities and indices on their most important hosts. Information on normal seasonal variations in population density of rodent reservoirs is essential for detecting any abnormal changes such as a sudden decline or increase in the populations, which may indicate an epizootic.

In addition to the above measures, plague’s endemic cycle in the focus must be understood, by gathering information on the species and degree of immunity of small mammal reservoirs, and the species and vectorial capacity of the flea vectors. The most important measure thereafter will be to establish a surveillance system adequate to detect unusual plague activity in a focus (see Surveillance). A natural focus of plague may be dormant for many years, during which time no human cases are reported. Subsequently, for reasons which may include ecological changes, human population movements into the focus, occurrence of an epizootic and others, the focus may flare up and cases of human plague occur.

Thus, from the viewpoint of anticipating the appearance of plague, knowledge of the location of existing natural foci is as important as
knowing where cases have appeared in a given period. The known, and in some cases, the suspected foci are shown on the map compiled from published literature and government reports. The foci have been described in the first section of this manual.

Principles of control

Control of plague transmission, from one reservoir animal to another or from animals to humans, can be most rapidly effected by control of the flea vector. The question of whether to give priority to control of the rodent reservoir or the flea vector was considered by Gordon and Knies, who concluded that the flea is the primary objective, the rat (diseased or harboring fleas) is secondary, and that the principle of focal disinfection applies (1). Certain principles they recommended remain valid, although their insecticide of choice BDDT would not probably be the one now selected:

The first consideration in control of human plague is direct attack on reported foci of infection. This involves diagnosis and recognition of the disease, which is essential to establish firmly the existence of plague, isolation of the patient and of the immediate contacts, focal attack on the area invaded by plague through disinestation of premises and persons with insecticide DDT (1).

This approach was first developed by Simond in 1898 (2) and is still followed in the sense that plague control measures should start with the control of the vector flea rather than the reservoir rodent. Although it might be feasible to achieve a high level of rodent control in a plague focus (whether rural or urban), the death of a large number of plague-infected rodents is likely to introduce large numbers of flea ectoparasites of the killed rodents, (many of which might be infected with plague) into the environment. These fleas, particularly blocked@fleas, will avidly seek another host, thus spreading the disease to a greater extent than would have been likely had the rodent hosts not been killed. Thus the first step in controlling an outbreak of plague and interrupting its transmission remains that of control of the vector flea.

Control of flea vectors

The literature on control of the flea vectors through the use of insecticides is extensive (3). Every large-scale rodent control action, especially in an urban area or in a rural area in or close to human
habitations, should be preceded by or (at the very least) accompanied by flea control, the objective of which is to reduce the density of the rodent-flea vectors as quickly and as completely as possible. Although residual sprays as applied for the control of malaria vectors may effectively reduce indoor flea populations, they will have relatively little effect on fleas on rodents or in rodent burrows, and would thus have little or no effect on interrupting plague transmission occurring outside dwellings (4).

Dusts applied to rodent runways and burrows (commensal rodents) or into rodent burrows (wild rodents) is effective in controlling flea vectors. Rodents crossing dust patches on runways or when exiting burrows pick up the insecticidal dust on their fur and spread it over themselves when grooming, killing the flea ectoparasites. Dusts are the formulation of choice but may not be readily available. When flea control is urgent a liquid insecticide spray can be used to control flea ectoparasites on indoor rodent populations. If a residual spray formulation is applied, greater attention will have to be placed on spraying floors and rodent holes than would normally be done when carrying out a residual spray application for malaria vector control.

Flea control on commensal rodents

In most towns or urban areas endemic for plague the flea vector is likely to be *X. cheopis*, *X. astia* or *X. brasiliensis*. Their rodent hosts, often *R. rattus* or *R. exulans*, usually nest in dwellings or buildings. *R. norvegicus* and *B. bengalensis* usually nest in burrows around houses, warehouses and other structures. No matter what the species of rodent host, control staff must learn to recognize and seek out rodent runways and burrows which must be treated. The insecticidal dust should be blown into the mouth of a burrow and a patch of dust approximately 1 cm thick left around it. Indoors, patches of dust should be applied to rat runways, which are usually found along walls. Patches 15–30 cm wide should be placed at several points along each runway. A shaker can attached to a long pole can be used to reach runways along rafters or the wall–roof junction. As much as possible, the dust patches should be left where they will not be swept away or disturbed by human activity. Care must be taken not to contaminate foodstuffs or cooking utensils.

Special care should be taken when dusting food warehouses or storage rooms, which are often heavily infested by rodents. An alternative is to use bait boxes, which contain both a slow-acting rodenticide in an attractive bait and insecticidal dust at the openings. In tropical countries
bait boxes can be rapidly and cheaply constructed of sections of bamboo tubes approximately 40cm long and 7–10cm in diameter. Some 30gm of bait B with or without a rodenticide B is placed in the centre of the tube and 5–6gm of the insecticide dust placed at each opening. The tube is fastened to the earth or floor by a long nail (5). This method is labour-intensive but has several advantages, including the protection of dust by placement inside the tubes. The use of bait boxes for rural areas is described below. The use of dust patches is advantageous in that application can be carried out rapidly with a minimum of training and the patches can easily be checked for rodent tracks, indicating that they have been crossed.

The extent of an area to be dusted in a city or town where plague has appeared is determined by the location of plague cases, whether human or rodents were found bacteriologically positive, and the size of the area to be protected. The risk can probably best be judged by the extent of rodent activity in and around the focus. In any event, insecticidal dusting should begin as soon as possible after the verification of human cases or rodents positive for plague. The dusting operations should be announced in schools, on the radio and in the local press to ensure that teams carrying out the work are allowed free access to all structures and that dust deposits are not swept up but left undisturbed as long as possible. Actions to be taken in towns or villages are similar but great attention must be given to avoid contaminating stored foodstuffs in houses and farm areas.

In areas at high risk for plague periodic surveys should be made of flea densities, their seasonal variation and their susceptibility to insecticides in stock or to those which may be procured should a dusting programme be required.

Flea control on wild rodents

Wild rodents and their flea ectoparasites are more difficult to control than commensal species, due to difficulties in locating burrows and runways, wide population dispersion and the difficulties of deciding on the limits of the area to be treated. Before the appearance of DDT and in some areas of the world to this day, flea and rodent control were carried out in conjunction by fumigating burrows with cyanide gas through insufflation of HCN dusts or granules. While the results of fumigation are often dramatic, this method has several shortcomings. First, in large burrow systems the fumigant is often too light to reach all parts of the burrow system and rodents can often escape its effect. Second, there is no
persistence of action and rodents or fleas which have not been controlled by the fumigation will not be affected when the gas has dissipated. Last, toxic fumigants carry considerable risk to applicators and to people living in houses where fumigants are applied.

In as much as fumigants are easily and rapidly applied and results are seen to be immediate (dead rodents free of living fleas in their burrows) directly after the application, their use was and is still popular. However, fumigants—whether cyanide or others—have little persistence of action and the appearance of DDT and other organochlorine insecticides created immediate interest in their use for plague flea vector control. Indeed, some of the earliest uses of DDT on a large scale in the mid–1940s were in large-scale dusting programmes to halt plague epidemics (6, 7, 8).

Wild rodent fleas have since been controlled by a variety of different methods of insecticide application, including broadcast from aircraft and application in and around burrows with power and hand dusters. With the growing concern about the introduction of insecticides into the environment, increasing use has been made in the United States of bait boxes (referred to above). Such boxes, whatever their shape and construction, include a food bait attractive to rodents in the interior and insecticidal dusts at the box entrances. Rodents entering the boxes cross the dust, picking up insecticide onto their fur and carrying it back to their nests, killing the fleas on their bodies and those in the nests (9, 10, 11). Bait boxes have been found to be quite effective, reducing flea populations over a considerable radius from the boxes as the rodents bring the insecticide back to their nests. As has been observed above, the method is labour-intensive and the stations require rebaiting and replenishment of the dusts until the threat of plague abates. Because of these limitations most countries will probably use insufflation of dusts in and around rodent burrows as the approach of choice. If this is assiduously carried out little else need be done except to evaluate periodically the effect of the dusting and repeat, if necessary, when the effect of the insecticide begins to wane.

Insecticides used in rodent flea control

Prior to selecting an insecticide for use in a plague-flea vector control programme, susceptibility tests must be done to determine the status of resistance of the flea populations to the insecticides which may be used (discussed under Flea resistance to insecticides). If possible, field trials should be done to determine the efficacy of candidate insecticides against flea vector populations under local conditions.
In the past, 10% DDT dust was one of the most common and effective compounds used in rodent–flea control programmes. However, due to the widespread development of insecticide–resistant populations among several important vector species, including X. cheopis, and the increased concern over environmental contamination, alternative compounds are now used. Most of these compounds, are effective against both adult and larval fleas. Use should be made of alternative insecticides among the organo–phosphorus, carbamate, pyrethroid and insect–growth–regulator compounds shown to be effective in field trials. Table 5 lists those compounds readily available and commonly employed in flea control.

Table 5 Insecticide dusts commonly employed in flea control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>class</th>
<th>Concentration (%)</th>
<th>Oral LD50 to rats (mg/kg oral)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bendiocarb</td>
<td>carbamate</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbaryl</td>
<td>carbamate</td>
<td>2.0 – 5.0</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deltamethrin</td>
<td>pyrethroid</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diazinon</td>
<td>OP</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diflubenzuron</td>
<td>IGR</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fenitrothion</td>
<td>OP</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>503.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jofenphos</td>
<td>OP</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lambdacyhalothin</td>
<td>pyrethroid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lindane</td>
<td>Org.chl</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malathion</td>
<td>OP</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methoprene</td>
<td>IGR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>permethrin</td>
<td>pyrethroid</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>430.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propetamphos</td>
<td>OP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pirimiphos-methyl</td>
<td>OP</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2,018.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propoxur</td>
<td>carbamate</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Gratz, N.G. & Brown, A.W.A.: 1983
Other insecticides now available, among them fipronil, imidacloprid, lufenuron and pyriproxyfen, are very effective in the control of fleas. They should undergo field trials against populations of flea vectors of plague to determine their efficacy and best manner of application under local, field conditions.

Field trials have demonstrated the potential of systemic insecticides, including phoxim, chlorphoxim and dimethoate incorporated in rodent baits for controlling flea ectoparasites (11, 13, 14). Little use appears to have been made of these compounds.

It is unlikely that insect growth regulators would be applicable under plague epidemic conditions. They are considered here inasmuch as they are highly effective (though not rapid) in their action. Field trials carried out with the insect growth regulator methoprene for flea control in domestic situations as well as against the flea ectoparasite of ground-squirrel wild reservoirs of plague in Texas (15) have shown good results. Application to rodent burrows in the fall at a rate of 0.05g of a.i./ burrow resulted in a complete disappearance of adult fleas from mid-June to late fall. Field trials have also been carried out with Bacillus thuringiensis preparations; while some of these containing beta-endotoxin were larvicidal against X. cheopis, they were more effective against first-instar larvae than later instars which required a 15-fold greater dose for effective control (16).

V ector flea resistance to insecticides

As noted above, flea resistance to insecticides can be a serious impediment to control. Therefore the susceptibility of target flea populations to locally-used insecticides should be determined periodically. DDT resistance was first confirmed in X. cheopis in the Poona District of India (17). Insecticide resistance has since spread widely in other flea vectors of plague (Table 6).

Where flea control programmes are planned or there is a threat of flea-borne diseases which may make the application of insecticides necessary, surveys of the prevalent flea species and their seasonal variations in population densities should be accompanied by tests to determine their susceptibility status. This is especially important in areas where extensive applications of residual insecticides have been made to houses, as in malaria or Chagas disease vector control programmes.
The test for the determination of insecticide susceptibility or resistance in fleas can be carried out on adult fleas using a WHO Susceptibility test kit. The test kit, along with instructions for use (18), may be ordered from the WHO Regional Offices or from the Division of Control of Tropical Diseases, WHO (Address: 20 avenue Appia, CH–1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland).

Table 6  Insecticide resistance reported in flea populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Insecticides</th>
<th>OP compounds</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ceratophyllum fasciatus</td>
<td>USSR</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctenocephalides felis</td>
<td>Colombia, Guyana, USA, Tanzania</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulex irritans</td>
<td>Brazil, Czechoslovakia, Ecuador, Egypt, Greece, Peru, Turkey</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stivalius cognatus</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synopsyllus fonquerniei</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenopsylla astia</td>
<td>Burma, India</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenopsylla brasiliensis</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenopsylla cheopis</td>
<td>Brazil, Burma, China, India, India, Madagascar, Philippines, Tanzania, Thailand, Vietnam</td>
<td>India, Tanzania, Madagascar</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Control of rodent reservoirs

As emphasized above, during an outbreak of plague in a human population or an epizootic among either commensal or sylvatic rodent populations, the first step is to control flea vectors on the rodents. In areas where flea populations are high and plague infections intense, killing rodent hosts may result in the release of large numbers of avid fleas carrying plague organisms seeking new hosts. If the rodent population has been decimated by an epizootic, many flea species, including efficient vectors of plague, will seek an alternative host which in the absence of rodent hosts might well be humans, resulting in spread of infection to humans.
Once flea indices have been reduced, control of rodent reservoirs can be undertaken. In areas where plague is not endemic or during periods when plague is not circulating in a sylvatic or commensal rodent population, rodent control measures can be carried out independently of flea control.

Knowledge of the species present in a plague focus or an area into which plague has been introduced as well as of the bionomics of the reservoir or potential reservoir rodent species is essential as a base for rodent control. For target control areas, the extent of infestations, population densities, seasonal fluctuations, rodent movements and the status of susceptibility to the anticoagulant rodenticides must be known.

Effective rodent control is a complex undertaking and the following provides only basic information on methods and materials used to control reservoir populations of plague. Readily available publications are listed at the end of the section.

**Target commensal species: bionomics and reservoir importance**

The material in this section is based on the WHO Vector Biology and Control Training and Information Guide, Rodents, 1987 (unpublished document No. VBC/87.949). Copies can be requested from the Control of Tropical Diseases Programme, WHO (Address: 20 Avenue Appia, CH-1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland).

Three species of commensal rodents with a global distribution are the Norway rat *R. norvegicus*, the roof rat *R. rattus* and the house mouse *M. musculus* (Table 7). Although it is a reservoir and vector of other diseases of humans, the house mouse has little role in plague epidemiology.

**The Norway rat**

Norway rats are stocky, medium- to large-sized rodents; the tail is shorter than the head and body. Under favorable conditions colonies of several hundred Norway rats may develop. It is primarily a burrowing species and is commonly found living near sources of food and water, such as refuse and drainage ditches, streams or sewers. While mainly a temperate climate species with a patchy distribution in the tropics, its range appears to be continually expanding. The Norway rat is more
abundant in the northern than the southern hemisphere and is the predominant species of commensal rat in Europe, North America and parts of the Mediterranean basin (Map 2).

In temperate areas it is commonly found in both urban and rural areas. The Norway rat is omnivorous, consuming food waste, stored food such as cereal grains and seeds and growing crops. Poor disposal of garbage and other types of organic refuse offers a ready supply of foodstuffs to this species. Warehouses or other areas with stored foodstuffs can be readily infested if not rodent-proofed.

Reproduction is rapid with a gestation period of 22–24 days with large litters. In warmer areas, reproduction may continue throughout the year. In temperate areas, there are litters in the spring and autumn. There is generally a high mortality among the young and few rats live longer than a year in the wild. An abundance of food and harbourage will result in better survival rates.

*R. norvegicus* is often heavily infested by *X. cheopis* and is readily susceptible to plague, though some individuals in a population may survive the infection. Because of its proximity to human populations, an epizootic of plague in *R. norvegicus* populations represents an immediate danger to humans.

**The roof rat**

The roof rat is a moderate-sized, slender agile rat. The snout is slender, ears are large and thin and the eyes are prominent. The tail is generally longer than the head and body. The species has been displaced to some extent by *R. norvegicus* in many urban areas but still finds ecological niches adequate in most areas to maintain its presence. In Asia a number of rat species are closely related to *R. rattus*, including *R. jalorensis*, *R. argentiventer*, *R. diardii* and *R. exulans*.

The roof rat exists in small family groups in smaller colonies than the Norway rat. It is found both indoors and outdoors depending on the climate. It is a semi-arboreal species, climbing shrubs, vines and trees, and nests outdoors in warmer areas. In temperate areas it inhabits a wide range of buildings, from dwellings to food stores and warehouses. It is the most frequent rat found on vessels and is also known as the "ship rat". It is a more skilful climber than the heavier Norway rat, and more extensively distributed (Map 3) in both the northern and southern hemispheres.
In general the roof rat prefers grains, seeds, nuts and fruits but will readily change to insects and herbivorous foods if necessary. They can live on cereals for relatively long periods without access to free water. Reproduction is slightly faster than the Norway rat with a gestation period of 20–22 days but with fewer embryos and young per year.

The roof rat appears to be as susceptible to infection by *Y. pestis* as the Norway rat and suffers considerable mortality when exposed to infection. Its flea load is often lighter than that of the Norway rat but their propensity for living inside dwellings makes them an effective reservoir and source of infection to fleas and humans.

The Polynesian rat

*R. exulans* is a small species of rat rarely weighing more than 110g in the wild. It usually lives in close association with humans throughout its range in southeast Asia and Indonesia but can be found in fields and ricefields as well. It has been found infected with plague in several endemic countries.

The lesser bandicoot rat

The lesser bandicoot *B. bengalensis* is a medium- to large-sized rat. It is a burrowing species, creating large burrow systems in urban areas and in fields in rural areas. It does not readily climb. It has become the main urban species of rat in many cities of southeast Asia including Bombay, Calcutta, Madras, Dhaka, Yangon (Rangoon) and Bangkok. It has been frequently found infected with plague in India, Myanmar (Burma) and Viet Nam and can serve as an important reservoir, as in some areas it is susceptible to infection but relatively resistant to the disease.

The multimammate rat

*M. natalensis*, or the multimammate rat, occurs over large areas of Africa south of the Sahara and can reach high population densities. Though frequently found in fields and forest clearings, it is a peri-domestic species living in close association with humans and readily inhabiting houses or granaries. It is mainly granivorous, eating wild grasses, millet, maize and rice as well as stored foodstuffs in houses and stores. This rat is the most economically important of all rodent species in Africa, although it is being replaced in some areas by the roof rat.
The species reproduces rapidly: females breed at approximately 3 months with a gestation period of 23 days. Litter size is from 9.5 to 12.1.

*M. natalensis* is highly susceptible to plague infection. It is the main link in many parts of Africa between peridomestic and wild rodents and is the main reservoir of plague in many parts of the continent.

**Commensal rodent control**

There are different approaches to control utilizing chemical rodenticides, traps or environmental measures, including rodent exclusion. Environmental measures, while more effective in reducing rodent population densities, are slow to take effect and it may be more important in a plague-threatened area to immediately reduce the rodent reservoir populations.

**Rodenticides**

Most measures to control commensal rodents depend on the application of rodenticides, incorporated in either bait, dust or water formulations (1). Rodenticides are classified as chronic (multiple dose, slow-acting) or acute (single dose, quick-acting) compounds. The most widely used are the anticoagulants: these slow-acting compounds are now regarded as first-choice rodenticides against commensal rodents in most control operations. Acute rodenticides are principally and most effectively employed in situations demanding a rapid reduction of high-density populations. As will be seen, some of the most recently developed anticoagulants are effective in a single feeding and the distinction between the two groups is somewhat blurred. A comparison is given in Table 7.

**Anticoagulants**

The anticoagulant rodenticides disrupt the mechanism that controls blood-clotting and cause fatal internal haemorrhages (2). Their action is cumulative and most must be ingested over a period of several days to be effective. Anticoagulants have two main advantages over acute rodenticides. First, they are readily accepted by commensal rodents when they are included in bait at low concentration so that sublethal dosing and bait-shyness problems do not normally arise. Second, primary and secondary poisoning hazards to non-target species are generally low and, if accidental poisoning of humans or animals does occur, an effective
antidote (phytomenadione—vitamin K) is available. Even so, their use can present a danger to non–target species and the utmost care should be taken in their application.

Table 7 Comparison of acute and chronic rodenticides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acute</th>
<th>Chronic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advantages in use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Fast kill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Bodies seen by user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Effective where anticoagulant resistance is a problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Relatively small amounts of bait rodent kill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|       | 5. High concentrations required can lead to unpalatability | 5. Anticoagulant resistance |
|       | 6. Poor selectivity - high hazard to non–target species | |
|       | 7. Formulation options restricted almost entirely to food baits | |

The anticoagulants have been particularly successful in controlling Norway rats. The roof rat is less susceptible and house mice can be highly variable in their response. Recommended dosage levels for anticoagulant rodenticides are given in Table 8. In the non–target species, pigs are about as susceptible to anticoagulants as are rats; cats and dogs are moderately susceptible; and chickens, rabbits and horses are the least susceptible to poisoning.
Table 8  Relative potencies, recommended concentrations to give a LD50 dose of several anticoagulant rodenticides to Norway rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticoagulant</th>
<th>LD50 mg/kg Norway rat</th>
<th>Bait conc. ppm.</th>
<th>LD50 dose g bait/250g rat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brodifacoum</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flocoumafen</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromadiolone</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difenacoum</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumatetralyl</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphacinone</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pival</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorphacinone</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>102.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All anticoagulant compounds are virtually insoluble in water, although the sodium or calcium salts of most are water–soluble and available for the preparation of liquid baits. Chlorphacinone and bromadiolone are available as mineral oil–soluble concentrates. All are chemically stable either in concentrate or in prepared bait form.

There are 12 anticoagulants in use throughout the world. Most of these are considered here, including the so–called "second–generation" anticoagulants, difenacoum, brodifacoum bromadiolone and, most recently, flocoumafen, which appears from preliminary data to be almost as toxic as brodifacoum (3). As the availability of different anticoagulant rodenticides varies considerably from country to country, the following section reviews the characteristics of those used to any extent. Some are no longer readily available, though stocks may still be found.

First–generation anticoagulants

Warfarin. Warfarin [3–a–acetonylbenzyl)–4–hydroxycoumarin] was the first major anticoagulant to be developed in 1950 as a rodenticide. It has had widespread use. Warfarin was the most effective of the early anticoagulants against Norway rats. In many countries warfarin use has been declining, since the introduction of the newer, more potent anticoagulants, the development of physiological resistance (4).

The sodium salt is available as a 0.5% concentrate; this is dissolved in water to make a final concentration of 0.05%mg/ml. In contrast to highly–purified warfarin incorporated in bait, sodium warfarin solution can be detected by rats and sugar is usually added to mask the taste. There appears to be some unacceptability in baits at the 0–05% level or higher.
Fumarin. Fumarin, or coumafuryl [3-(a-cetonylfurfuryl)-4-hydroxycoumarin], is a whitish or cream-coloured compound supplied as a 0.5% concentrate in cornstarch. It has been shown to be equally as effective and palatable as warfarin and a water-soluble salt is used in preparing liquid baits.

Coumachlor. Coumachlor [3-(l-p-chlorophenyl-2-acetethyl)-4-hydroxycoumarin], also known as Tomorin, was one of the first anticoagulants. While it is similar to warfarin it is the least toxic of the first generation anticoagulants and is somewhat less useful against R. norvegicus. It has been applied successfully in dust formulations.

Coumatetralyl. Coumatetralyl [3-(a-tetralyl-4-hydroxycoumarin], also known as Racumin, has been widely used against all three commensal species. It has been reported that coumatetralyl at 0.03% and 0.05% is extremely wellaccepted by Norway rats, better than warfarin at 0.025%. At 0.05% it is about as toxic to warfarin-resistant Norway rats as 0.005% warfarin is to normally-susceptible individuals (5). Coumatetralyl was not effective against warfarin-resistant rats in the field in Denmark (6), but in other field trials it was found to be more toxic than warfarin against the house mouse. A high degree of resistance to coumatetralyl and many other anticoagulants has been reported in Germany (7). Coumatetralyl is still widely used throughout the world and, next to the second-generation anticoagulants, remains one of the most important of the earlier anticoagulant rodenticides.

Pival. Pival [2-pivalyl-1, 3-indandione], also known as pindone, is a fluffy yellow powder with a slightly acrid odour. The sodium salt (Pivalyn) is a grainy powder with only a trace of odour. Pival is only slightly soluble in water; the sodium derivative is soluble up to 0.1 mg/ml, but nevertheless it precipitates unless a suitable agent is added when it is used with many natural waters.

Pival is available as a 2.0% concentrate and a 0.5% concentrate in cornstarch. The sodium salt is available in sachets, dosed for a litre of water. Pival has a good record of performance against all three species of commensal rodents. It was found to be as effective as warfarin against roof rats and house mice, but less so against Norway rats (8).

Diphacinone. Diphacinone [2-diphenylacetyl-1, 3-indandionel] is a pale yellow, odourless crystalline material, nearly insoluble in water (the sodium salt is soluble). Diphacinone is supplied as a 0.1% concentrate in
cornstarch and the sodium salt as a 0.106% concentrate mixed with sugar for use in either cereal or water bait. The concentrate is added to bait (1:19) to give a final concentration of 0.005% of diphacinone.

Diphacinone is reported to be considerably more toxic to rats, mice, dogs and cats than warfarin. Diphacinone at a concentration of 0.0125%, was reported as the most effective of the anticoagulants against roof rats. Resistance has been reported from Denmark where the compound had no effect on bromadiolone-resistant Norway rats (9).

Chlorphacinone. Chlorophacinone, [2(2-p-chlorophenyl-a-phenylacetyl)-l, 3-indandionel], also known as Kozol, has been found to be more toxic to Norway rats and house mice than warfarin. It is available as a 0.28% concentrate in mineral oil, for dilution in bait to give a 0.005% concentration. A 0.2% formulated dust for use against Norway rats and house mice is also marketed. Resistance to chlorphacinone has been reported in R. rattus diardii in Malaysia (10) and Germany (8).

Second-generation anticoagulants

Difenacoum. Difencoum [3-(3-p-diphenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaph-1-yl)-4-hydroxycoumarin] is a close relative of coumatetralyl. It was discovered as a result of the search for alternative rodenticides to overcome anticoagulant-resistant rat problems in the United Kingdom. Probably because of the novel structure of the molecule, difenacoum was toxic to Norway rats resistant to warfarin or other anticoagulants.

Laboratory and field reports on the efficacy of difenacoum showed it to be an excellent rodenticide against Norway rats, including warfarin-resistant populations (11). It is also highly toxic to R. rattus and M. musculus. In trials against confined colonies of warfarin-resistant wild mice, difenacoum resulted in 88.9% and 97.0% mortality when offered in bait at 0.005% and 0.01% respectively for 21 days in the presence of unpoisoned food (12).

Initial field trials of difenacoum (3) on farms in England and Wales gave excellent control of warfarin-resistant Norway rat populations when used at 0.005–001%. No difference in effectiveness was evident and the lower concentration was recommended for field use. The first reports of resistance to difenacoum came in 1976 and by 1980 resistant Norway rat populations were established in Hampshire, England. Other reports
indicate the occasional occurrence of difenacoum-resistance in the roof rat in France and England and in house mice in the United Kingdom (13).

**Brodifacoum.** Brodifacoum 3-\(\{3-\{4'-\text{bromobiphenyl}-4-\text{yl}\}-1,2,3,4\text{-tetrahydronaphth}-1-\text{yl}\}\)-4 hydroxycoumarin] is closely related to but more toxic to rodents than difenacoum (14). Brodifacoum even in small doses is highly toxic, more so than most acute rodenticides. Thus it is more hazardous to non-target species than the previously-described anticoagulants. Its extreme toxicity has suggested that brodifacoum be used as a "one shot" poison; that is, used in the same way as acute rodenticides. Its use in conventional anticoagulant treatments (baiting until feeding ceased) resulted in complete control when it was included at either 0.002, 0.001 or 0.005% (15). Brodifacoum is recommended at a field concentration of 0.005% against Norway rats.

Brodifacoum gave complete kills of both warfarin-resistant and nonresistant Norway rats in the laboratory at a concentration of 0.0005% in bait for two days, or at 0.001% for one day. At 0.005% complete kills of warfarin-resistant *R. rattus* were obtained in two-day feeding tests and resistant house mice were found to be similarly susceptible. In pen trials, using warfarin-resistant mice given alternative food, brodifacoum at 0.002, 0.005 and 0.01% in cereal bait gave kills of 98.6, 98.4 and 100% respectively and it performed slightly better than difenacoum. It has now been widely tested against different species in many countries and is generally effective against most rodent pest and reservoir species (16).

**Bromadiolone.** Bromadiolone, 3-\(\{3-\{4'-\text{bromo[1,1'biphenyl]-4-yl}\}-3-\text{hydroxy-1-phenylpropyl}\}-4-hydroxy-2H-1\text{-benzopyran-2-one}\}, is another potent hydroxycoumarin derivative. It is a white powder, insoluble in water but soluble in acetone, ethanol and dimethylsulfoxide. Bromadiolone is highly toxic to rats and mice. It is well accepted by Norway rats at a concentration of 0.005% in bait and extremely effective against this species (LD50 less than 1.2 mg/kg). House mice are also susceptible to bromadiolone.

Bromadiolone at 0.005% in bait for one night only gave 100% mortality in test groups of wild Norway rats and house mice. Its potency, and that of brodifacoum and flocoumafen, has led to the experimental use of each of these anticoagulant poisons in restricted amounts of bait, minimal or Apulsed@baitings at intervals of five to seven days over a several-week period. In numerous field trials indoors and outdoors in the United States and Europe, it has given 70-100% control of Norway rats,
85–100% control of roof rats and 75% to near 100% reduction of house mouse populations (17).

In 1982, Norway rat populations in the United Kingdom were reported to be slightly resistant to this compound in spite of its being effective against difenacoum–resistant strains. Field tests resulted in only 51% mortality after 14 days of baiting and 83% after 35 days, values that compare unfavourably with the results obtained in trials on susceptible populations (3). Laboratory tests on mice surviving brodifacoum treatment in farm buildings showed that some individuals were resistant to bromadiolone. Similar evidence of increased tolerance to bromadiolone has been found in house mice in Canada. Bromadiolone and difenacoum resistance in Norway rats has been detected in Denmark and in house mice in Sweden.

Flocoumafen. Flocoumafen is chemically related to brodifacoum; it is – [3= (4’–trifluoromethylbenzyl–oxyphenyl–4–yl)–1,2,3,4–tetrahydro–l–naphthyl–4–hydroxycoumarin], an off-white powder, almost insoluble in water, slightly soluble in alcohols and soluble in acetone. It is recommended for use at 0.005% in loose grain baits and wax-bound cereal blocks.

The acute oral LD50 values have been determined to be 0.4 mg/kg for male laboratory R. norvegicus and 0.8 mg/kg for male laboratory M. musculus. The LD50 for male rats compares favourably with that for brodifacoum of 0.3 mg/kg, making flocoumafen the second most toxic anticoagulant to R. norvegicus. "No–choice" tests on a homozygous Welsh strain of warfarin–resistant R. norvegicus and resistant house mice killed all animals after only one day of feeding at 50 ppm active ingredient. Field trials in England using flocoumafen at 0.005% against M. musculus showed no further bait consumption 16 days after the bait was first laid and no further activity at the end of 24 days. Resistance has already been reported to flocoumafen in a Norway rat population in the United Kingdom (18).
Acute rodenticides

Acute–acting rodenticides used in commensal rodent control are grouped in three hazard–in–use categories:

(1) Compounds that are highly toxic and extremely hazardous to humans and non–target animals;

(2) Compounds that are both moderately toxic and hazardous to humans and non–target animals, requiring considerable care in use; and

(3) Compounds of relatively lower toxicity that are the least hazardous to humans and animals.

The main characteristics of the compounds reviewed are outlined in Table 9. Apart from zinc phosphide and Calciferol, few are now used to any marked extent in rodent control. All of the compounds described have some disadvantage or another, either in relation to toxicity, acceptability, safe usage or secondary poisoning hazards. Regulations governing their use vary among countries and it is mainly for this reason and for historical reference purposes that some of the better–known compounds which are not now recommended as rodenticides are described. Some of these are still stocked in certain countries and every effort should be made to safely dispose of those likely to be toxic to humans and non–target animals.

Table 9 Characteristics of acute and subacute rodenticides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Lethal dose mg/kg</th>
<th>% used in baits</th>
<th>Species efficacy</th>
<th>Hazard to man</th>
<th>Recommended?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic trioxide</td>
<td>13–25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>x x x</td>
<td>extreme</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromethalin</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>x x x</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cimidin</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>x x</td>
<td>extreme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluroacetamide</td>
<td>13–16</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>x x x</td>
<td>extreme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>x x x</td>
<td>extreme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strychnine</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>extreme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium sulfate</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>x x x</td>
<td>extreme</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-chloralose</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-chlorohydrin</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTU</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>extreme</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calciferol</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>x x x</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc phosphide</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>x x x</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red squill</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. LD50 for R. norvegicus
b. Rn=R. norvegicus Rr=R. rattus Mm=M. musculus
c. Recommendation of WHO Expert Committee (19)
Extremely hazardous rodenticides

Arsenic trioxide. Arsenic trioxide, AS203, when chemically pure, is a fine, white powder, practically insoluble in water and chemically stable in air. The impure compound has a bitter acid taste. Early field trial reports indicated that 85–100% kills of Norway rats could be expected in poison treatments carried out after adequate prebaiting. Arsenic-treated bait is also relatively effective against roof rats but not against house mice.

Arsenic trioxide is a slow-acting poison. Death occurs in rats from a few hours to several days after poisoning when corrosion of the gastrointestinal lining results in haemorrhage and shock. Arsenic trioxide is also toxic to humans, domestic animals and birds. There is a slight degree of safety, particularly in cats and dogs, because arsenic poisoning can cause vomiting. Since arsenic can be absorbed through cuts or breaks in the skin, gloves must be worn in preparing or handling baits.

The use of arsenic trioxide as a rodenticide is not recommended by a 1973 WHO Expert Committee (19) nor is there any advantage in its use. It should not be used in plague reservoir control programme.

Bromethalin. Bromethalin [N-methyl-2, 4-dinitro-N-(2,4,6-tribromo-phenyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl) benzenamine] is one of a class of toxic diphenylamines developed as a possible replacement for anticoagulant rodenticides. Bromethalin is a highly-toxic, single- or multi-dose rodenticide. Death follows a lethal dose (at initial feeding) by two to five days. It has been shown to be effective against all three species of commensal rodents.

Technical bromethalin is a pale yellow, odourless, crystalline solid. It is soluble in many organic solvents but insoluble in water. Bromethalin is supplied as a 0.5% concentrate to be mixed as a final concentration of 0.005% in ready-to-use bait.

Bromethalin in levels as low as 10 ppm has given 100% kills of laboratory Norway rats after feeding for one night. Bromethalin apparently does not cause bait shyness in rodents. The LD50 for male and female Norway rats is 2.46 and 2.01 mg/kg, respectively. House mice require between 5.25 to 8.13 mg/kg and roof rats 6.6 mg/kg to give an LD50 dose. On free-choice feeding tests, bromethalin was well accepted by Norway rats, house mice and roof rats at 50 ppm. Bromethalin has
been found to be effective against anticoagulant-resistant Norway rats and house mice (20).

Field trial data indicate that bromethalin is exceptionally effective against Norway rats and house mice in a variety of habitats. Bromethalin treatments ranged from 7 to 30 days in duration and averaged 14 and 16 days for Norway rats and house mice, respectively. The long treatment duration is due in part to the delay in time of death after feeding. A greater-than-90% reduction in rodent numbers was obtained in most field trials.

Crimidin. Crimidin (2, chloro-4, dimethylamino-6, methyldipyrimidine), also called Castrix, was developed in Germany in the 1940s and further evaluated in the United States. Partly due to its extreme toxicity (oral LD_{50} of 1–5 mg/kg for Norway rats), but more importantly because of the availability of sodium fluoroacetate and warfarin, it was never accepted commercially. It has had rather limited use outside the Federal Republic of Germany and Denmark (21).

Crimidin is a fast-acting poison. The symptoms shown are typical of central nervous stimulation. Following oral ingestion and a latent period of 15–45 minutes, seizures occur intermittently, terminating in death—or in complete recovery in the case of sublethal dosing. This rodenticide is toxic to dogs and cats as well as to rodents. It has been reported to be acceptable to rats at concentrations of 0.25–1.0% in bait. The 1% concentration killed all Norway rats in two hours and the lower concentrations were lethal in less than 12 hours.

Vitamin B6 is an effective antidote against crimidin poisoning in rats and dogs, even when given after convulsions have started. The availability of this antidote places crimidin, along with phosacetim, in a unique class among the highly-toxic rodenticides.

Fluoroacetamide. Fluoroacetamide was first proposed as a rodenticide on the grounds that it was safer to manufacture and handle than sodium fluoroacetate. The onset of effect was also found to be slower than sodium fluoroacetate, resulting in ingestion of many times the lethal dose before poisoning symptoms appear. In field trials against Norway rats in sewers, fluoracetamide at 2% in bait proved to be more successful than sodium fluoroacetate at 0.25%.
Fluoroacetamide is effective against all three commensal rodent species. However, its use has been largely confined to treating rats living in sewers (22). Fluoroacetamide at 1% in bait gave excellent control (99% and 100%) in two trials against R. rattus in sewers. The poison was incorporated in paraffin wax blocks containing rolled oats and 5% sucrose. It was reported that the application of fluoroacetamide-treated bait on several farms in the Netherlands resulted in the eradication of anticoagulant-resistant Norway rat populations.

Although fluoroacetamide is slightly less toxic than sodium fluoroacetate, it is used at a higher concentration in bait; hence, it is just as hazardous to domestic animals and humans, and subject to the same restrictions in use. Where still available, it should only be used by well-trained licensed personnel under conditions where there is no access to the baits by non-target animals. It should not be made available for general use.

Sodium fluoroacetate. This compound is also known as 1080. Early work on the monofluoroacetate compounds was done in Poland and one of the compounds discovered, sodium fluoracetate, was assigned the laboratory code number 1080 in the United States. Sodium fluoroacetate is a white odourless powdery salt which is essentially tasteless and highly soluble in water. It is chemically stable in air but has some instability in water with solutions becoming less toxic in time.

Sodium fluoroacetate is highly toxic to rats, mice, domestic animals, birds and primates. It is fast-acting, producing symptoms in rats in 30 minutes or less and causing death in one to eight hours. Rats do not detect sodium fluoroacetate in bait and by the time poisoning symptoms occur, a lethal dose has usually been consumed. In surface treatments sodium fluoroacetate is preferably used in water, since cereal or other highly-toxic baits may be displaced by rats and prove difficult to recover. It has been mainly used at a concentration of 0.025% in water or solid bait.

The use of sodium fluoroacetate should be restricted to sewers, ships and other structures where the operator can completely control the rodenticide and the environment (23). It has been used, for example, in feed mills during weekends, where the treated premises were locked, patrolled, and all bait stations accounted for. Excess poison bait, bait containers and rat carcasses should be disposed of by incineration or deep burial.
It should be applied only by well-trained personnel under conditions where there is no access to the baits by non-target animals, and should not be made available for general use.

Strychnine. Strychnine, an alkaloid, is a white, crystalline compound insoluble in water. The sulfate is slightly soluble in water. Both the alkaloid and the sulfate have a bitter taste. Strychnine and its salts are highly toxic to all mammals. An LD50 of 6–8 mg/kg is given for wild R. norvegicus. Strychnine produces violent muscular spasms, symptoms often appearing within a few minutes. Death due to paralysis of the central nervous system generally occurs in half an hour or less. Strychnine is not effective against Norway rats which find its bitter taste objectionable, but it has been used for the control of house mice (applied to oats or canary seed).

Its use is not recommended owing to its high toxicity (rapid and violent death it causes) and its stability, which can cause secondary poisoning problems in other animals. Even available, it should not be used in any plague reservoir control programme.

Thallium sulfate. Thallium sulfate, T12SO4, is a white crystalline material, stable in air and baits and soluble in water. It is odourless and tasteless when chemically pure and rodents readily accept it in bait. Thallium sulfate has both advantages and disadvantages as a rodenticide. Its ready acceptance in bait and its slow action are distinctly advantageous attributes. However, treated bait, being odourless and tasteless, can easily be eaten accidentally by birds and mammals, including humans. Other disadvantages concern its solubility, cumulative effect and hazards associated with secondary poisoning. It is readily absorbed through cuts and wounds on the skin and rubber gloves should be worn during handling and mixing in bait or water.

Thallium sulfate is highly toxic to Norway rats and most other mammals. It is slow-acting in relation to the other rodenticides and although death can occur in 36 hours it may be delayed up to six days. Thallium sulfate has been used at a 0.5–2% concentration in food or water bait.

Despite its proven efficacy and acceptability to rodents the use of thallium sulfate is prohibited on safety grounds, in many countries. A WHO Expert Committee has recommended against its use: it should not be used in any plague reservoir control programme (19).
Moderately hazardous rodenticides

Alpha-chloralose. Alpha-chloralose is a narcotic drug used for mice control. It acts by retarding metabolic processes, causing death from hypothermia. It is most effectively employed when outside temperatures are below 16°C. Poisoning symptoms occur in mice within 5–10 minutes, and feeding usually ceases after 20 minutes, sometimes leading to inadequate intake of bait and sublethal poisoning. It is most effective in cool conditions against small rodents, such as mice, which have a high surface-to-volume ratio (24). Alpha-chloralose is not recommended for use against rats. It is recommended for use in indoor environments only against house mice at 2–4% in baits. It has no role in plague reservoir control programmes.

Alpha-chlorohydrin. Alpha-chlorohydrin (3-chloro-1,2-propanediol), also known as U-5897 and EPIBLOC, is a single-dose toxicant/chemosterilant. The technical material is a light straw-coloured liquid, miscible with water and most organic solvents. It is supplied as a 1% concentration in a ground cereal grain bait mixture.

Alpha-chlorohydrin is generally effective against Norway rats, less so against roof rats and with no permanent effect against house mice and Polynesian rats. In the Norway rat, the margin between the sterilizing dose and the lethal dose is small and only the sexually-mature male rat is sterilized. It is poorly accepted by both laboratory and wild Norway rats when given a choice of baits.

Field trials of alpha-chlorohydrin have given conflicting results. Several trials reported moderate-to-high kills (70–90%), with a high percentage of the adult males made sterile and a continued population decline. In other studies, even a high level of sterility among adult male rats did not decrease female pregnancies significantly and population growth was unaffected. It is difficult to see a role for this chemosterilant in a plague control programme.

ANTU. Alpha-naphthyl-thiourea (ANTU) is a greyish-white fine powder; its bitter taste is not discernible to all people. Insoluble in water, it is highly toxic to adult wild Norway rats, dogs and pigs. ANTU is a slow-acting compound, rats dying up to 48 hours after ingestion. Death results from drowning or pulmonary oedema.
ANTU is effective against adult Norway rats; young *R. norvegicus*, roof rats and house mice are much less affected. Rats ingesting a sublethal dose can develop tolerance to subsequent doses as high as 50 times the normal lethal dose. This tolerance can persist for up to six months. For this reason ANTU should not be used against the same rat population more than once every 6 months. ANTU has been used at a 1–2% concentration in cereal, fish or ground meat baits and incorporated in dust (20% ANTU and 80% pyrophyllite). Field trials have been done using directly laid poison bait; in other tests the dust has been placed in burrow openings and on runways with good results.

WHO Expert Committee, noting the potential induction of bladder tumours in humans by 2-naphthylamine (a 2% impurity in ANTU), has recommended against the use of ANTU (19). Where it is still available it should not be used in plague rodent reservoir control.

Calciferol. Calciferol (Vitamin D2, activated ergosterol) has been used to control both susceptible and anticoagulant-resistant house mice and Norway rats. It is a white crystalline material, slightly soluble in vegetable oils and soluble in organic solvents such as acetone, chloroform and ether. Calciferol is unstable and degrades into less toxic products in the presence of sunlight, air or moisture. Calciferol is a common dietary supplement in homogenized milk, infants' diets, animal feed and vitamins. When taken in toxic amounts it promotes the absorption of calcium from the gut and from bone tissue. This results in a high level of calcium in the blood which is deposited in the lungs, cardiovascular system and kidneys. Death occurs in rats four to eight days following feeding on calciferol baits.

The acute oral toxicity of calciferol for *M. musculus* is 15.7 mg/kg and for *R. norvegicus* is about 40 mg/kg. The chronic oral toxicity over three days for each species is 8 mg/kg and 11.5 mg/kg, respectively. Calciferol is palatable to both rats and mice at a 0.1% concentration in bait. Treated bait is generally well-accepted only for the first two or three days, as poisoning symptoms then occur and feeding and drinking virtually stop.

Calciferol treatments are similar to anticoagulant treatments. Field trials with 0.1% calciferol bait against Norway rats on farms in a warfarin-resistant area in Denmark were reported successful in most cases, even though alternative foods were abundant. In a control trial against *R. norvegicus* on farms in Hampshire, 20–50% of the rats survived despite repeated access to the poison (25). In six field trials against house mice infesting farm buildings up to 97–100% mortality was obtained (12).
Calciferol is toxic to many mammals, including humans, but its slow action allows adequate time for antidotal measures (with cortisone and procaine calcitonin). There may be a primary poisoning hazard to birds. Calciferol can be used against single anticoagulant-resistant Norway rat or house mouse populations, but its high cost tends to preclude its use in large-scale rat poisoning operations. Because of its subacute action, there is a possibility that sublethal dosing and consequent bait shyness may develop; prebaiting is recommended in situations where alternative foods are abundant.

This rodenticide is not recommended for use in rodent reservoir control.

Zinc phosphide. Zinc phosphide is a fine-greyish black powder with a definite garlic-like odour and strong taste. It is a good general rodenticide that has been widely used for several decades to control a number of rodent species. Although fairly stable in air and water, it degrades in the presence of dilute acids, liberating highly toxic phosphine gas. Zinc phosphide is moderately fast-acting: death may occur in less than an hour, most rats dying from heart failure accompanied by liver and kidney damage. It is generally used at 1–2.5% in cereal, fish, meat, vegetable or fruit baits; sometimes a fat or oil is used as a binder. The characteristics that make zinc phosphide attractive to domestic rodents (odour, taste and colour) apparently make it unattractive to other mammalian species. It has a good record of safety in use, although it is toxic to humans and domestic animals, especially chickens. Primary and secondary poisoning of domestic animals and wildlife has been reported. A dust mask should be worn when mixing bait to avoid inhalation of the technical powder; gloves should also be worn when applying fresh baits.

The shelf life of ready-made zinc phosphide baits in the tropics may be greatly reduced due to extreme heat and humidity, so baits should be used as fresh as possible.

Zinc phosphide may still be considered for large-scale use as an acute poison against commensal rodents.

Minimally-hazardous acute rodenticides

Red squill. Red squill is derived from the bulb of the onion-like plant, Urginea maritima, which grows near the Mediterranean. The bulbs of the squill plant are sliced, dried and ground to a fine reddish powder.
Squill keeps well if stored in a tightly-capped can or bottle, but slowly loses its toxicity when exposed to air. A method of stabilizing the powder has been developed whereby squill is formulated to give a minimum LD50 of 500 mg/kg for Norway rats. Squill has been used as a rat poison since the Middle Ages, its toxicity depending on the presence of a glycoside (scilliroside). It kills by a digitalis-like action which causes heart paralysis and is moderately slow-acting, death occurring within 24 hours (23).

Red squill powder has a bitter taste and severe vomiting occurs after ingestion. Despite its taste, squill is fairly well accepted in bait by Norway rats, at least initially, but should not be used at concentrations exceeding 10%. Red squill is not effective against roof rats but has been incorporated in dust for house mouse control. It exhibits a differential toxicity to male and female Norway rats, with females twice as susceptible. Rats consuming a sublethal dose of the poison become bait-shy, which lasts for a long period. Field trials showed that only about 75% of rat populations were killed when squill was used in damp bait. Laboratory and field trials showed that stabilized scilliroside is a highly-effective rodenticide against Norway rats when used at a concentration of 0.015% in cereal bait (27).

While considered generally safe for use because it acts as its own emetic in animals capable of vomiting, it is extremely irritating to the skin and must be handled with rubber gloves. Its use has been banned in some countries as a cruel poison and, due to the problems associated with its use, it is not recommended as a rodenticide for use in plague rodent reservoir control.

The use of anticoagulants

When anticoagulants are used against rats or mice there is no need to prebait. It is essential to survey the infested area and record the sites to be baited. Baits should be set out under cover and protected from the weather and other animals. Adequate protection can usually be devised from materials at hand, such as bricks and planks, but bait containers are sometimes required or preferred. If it is necessary to use bait containers, they should be put down for 4–10 days before baiting begins, thereby allowing their thorough investigation by rodents.

It is extremely important to maintain surplus anticoagulant bait throughout the entire operation. When a large enough amount is used initially (25–50g for mice and 200g or more for rats at each baiting point) and quantities are replenished as necessary, the intervals between visits
can be lengthened. If the infestation is large, the baits should be checked every one to two days, at least during the early stages of a treatment, and more bait added as necessary. When no more bait is being consumed, generally after about two or three weeks, the excess bait should be removed. Dead rats or mice recovered are burned or buried. All obvious rodent traces should be removed and a survey made for fresh traces a few days later. If new traces are found, a different palatable bait should be tried. With rats it is not normally necessary to change the anticoagulant at the same time, although this can be done if another one is at hand. In the case of surviving mice, it is best to adopt another control method, either an acute rodenticide in a different bait or traps.

Typically, a treatment against rats involves surveying the infested areas and leaving about 200g of anticoagulant bait at or near sites where rat traces are found. Each site is then revisited on the second, fourth and seventh days of each seven–day cycle. The baiting sites where feeding is active are recorded on work sheets and the schedule of visits is continued until no more bait is consumed.

The second generation anticoagulants have proved so lethal to susceptible rats and mice on one feeding that an alternative baiting strategy has been developed, known as pulsed or minimal baiting. The strategy is to use a large number of small baits (5–15g) in a once every 5–7 days baiting schedule, placing the small baits at all sites where large quantities of first–generation anticoagulants normally would have been laid. The purpose is to minimize the possibility of excessive bait consumption by any one rodent. This also exploits the extreme toxicity of the newer rodenticides by using minimum amounts of bait to achieve a satisfactory kill, instead of the saturation amounts (200 to 500g) laid when using first generation anticoagulants. The effect of this baiting strategy is that after one baiting up to 75% of the initial population should be dead or dying after one week: a second "pulse" or baiting reduces the surviving population again by 75% and a third "pulse" after 14 days gives a final mortality leading to near–extinction (98.5–100% mortality). Field trials using "pulsed" baiting methods have shown its effectiveness in a variety of habitats. Its advantages are that there is a considerable saving in both labour and bait costs to achieve the same level of control as saturation baiting. The safety for primary and secondary non–target species in laying much less bait per unit area is another consideration.
The application of acute rodenticides

When using an acute rodenticide it is essential to first survey the infested area and number the baiting points to be used. Poison bait is generally better accepted and an improved kill obtained by laying prebait for a few days beforehand. The prebait should be the same as that used later in the poison treatment. Small amounts of prebait, about 50–100g for rats and 10g for mice, should be placed wherever traces of rodents are found—close to burrows, nests and runways—to encourage feeding on the bait before other food sources are reached. Baits should be set out under cover, using containers where necessary, in a manner similar to that employed with anticoagulants. While prebaiting may not be practical in a plague reservoir control programme, if an effective flea vector control has been carried out then time may be available for prebaiting.

Prebaiting usually achieves its purpose in four to eight days; at the appropriate time all uneaten prebait should be removed and the acute poison bait laid. Generally, only one-fourth to half as much poison bait is needed at each site as was eaten on the last day of prebaiting. The poison baits should be maintained for one or two nights. During the poison treatment, particularly during the first night, the area should be disturbed as little as possible. At the end of the treatment period, the uneaten poison baits and any dead rodents should be collected and disposed of by incineration or deep burial. Burrows should be filled in, all obvious traces of rodents removed and, a few days later, the area re-inspected for fresh traces. Where rodents still appear to be active a different prebait should be laid down and if any is eaten in a day or two a second poison treatment should be applied, using a different poison.

The use of rodenticidal dusts, gels and grease

The use of rodenticides in dusts or other contact formulations in rodent control is an alternative approach to toxic baits. Their main use is in cases where poison acceptance or other baiting problems arise. This control method relies upon rodents coming (inadvertently) into contact with the poison in the form of a dust, as a liquid on a wick or in a gel or grease formulation. The poison sticks to the rodent’s fur and feet and is ingested during normal grooming. Advantages of this method of control are that affected rodents do not suspect the source of illness resulting from ingestion of the poison, nor do they avoid normal travel routes or change their feeding habits.
Rodenticidal dusts usually contain a considerably higher concentration of the toxicant than that used in food baits because contaminated rats or mice consume considerably less poison during grooming than eating. This makes the use of dusts uneconomical since excess dust must be laid although only a small amount will be consumed. Dusts must be used with great care to avoid contaminating food supplies and killing other non-target species.

Dusts can be applied as patches on runways or other areas frequented by rodents, around the openings and on the floors of bait containers, or blown into burrows, between walls or into other spaces occupied by rodents. They can also be applied inside plastic or cardboard tubes, placed on runways or along walls. It is usual to lay poisonous dust in isolated patches about 5cm wide, 0.5m long and 3mm thick. Inside buildings along walls, in corners and in areas well away from food. Further applications should be made as necessary during the course of a treatment. The patches should be examined and smoothed every few days to determine whether they are still being crossed by rodents. Although DDT dust was extensively used at one time for the control of mice its use in most countries is now banned. In Europe anticoagulant dusts have been used extensively, even against rats in refuse dumps. Dusts surrounding poisoned water bait have been used successfully against mice.

Fumigants

Fumigants can be used to kill rodents and their ectoparasites living in inaccessible areas in buildings, ships and in burrows in the soil. They are generally fast-acting but their use can be quite dangerous both to the person applying them and to other persons and animals in the immediate area. They should only be applied by persons well-trained and experienced in their use. Fumigants with a molecular weight of less than 29 tend to rise to the top of the burrow systems when used in soil. Factors which can be important in burrow fumigation are the moisture content of the soil and its particle size. Table 10 gives characteristics of some commonly used and available fumigants.
Table 10 Characteristics of rodent fumigants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fumigant</th>
<th>Molecular weight</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>LD50 (rat)</th>
<th>Flammable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen cyanide***</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>C. A.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>C. A.</td>
<td>(0.35% conc)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen phosphide</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>S. A.</td>
<td>(20-30% conc)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl bromide</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloropicrin</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* C.A.=chemical asphyxiant; S.A.=simple asphyxiant; L=irritant

Calcium cyanide. Ca(CN)₂ is available in granular and powdered form and when blown or placed into a burrow, releases hydrogen cyanide gas (HCN). It should only be used outdoors. As the gas is lighter than air, it gathers in the upper part of the burrow system and thus all burrows into which the calcium cyanide has been placed must be sealed quickly. It has frequently been used at quarantine stations for the deratization of vessels. It should only be applied by specially-trained personnel who are aware of the precautions that must be taken in its use. Due to its very high toxicity to humans and all other non-target animals it should not be made available to untrained personnel.

Fumigation with cyanide should always be done by more than one operator, as a person working alone could be exposed and die without assistance. Ampoules of amyl-nitrate should be carried during use, in case of accidental poisoning. Cyanide fumigation should not be used in plague reservoir control programmes.

Hydrogen phosphide. This fumigant, also known as phosphine, is sometimes used to fumigate burrows of R. norvegicus, B. bengalensis and N. indica in parts of Asia and elsewhere. One or two tablets are placed into each burrow entrance and the openings are then closed with soil. The speed of liberation of the gas in burrow systems depends upon both soil moisture and temperature levels but it normally takes several hours to fumigate a burrow. Tablets containing this rodenticide must be handled with gloves.

Carbon monoxide (CO) from petrol engine exhaust fumes can be used to kill rats in outdoor burrows. A hose is attached to the exhaust pipe and the other end is inserted inside the burrow. All of the burrow openings are then sealed and the engine run for about five minutes. Precautions must

---

Produced from Calcium cyanide.
be taken to ensure good ventilation of the vehicle since carbon monoxide might be forced back along the exhaust system and leak into it.

Control by CO is usually not very efficient and should not be encouraged as a rodent control method in general, nor in plague reservoir control programmes.

Sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) is a colourless, non-flammable gas with a strong suffocating odour. It is intensely irritating to the eyes and to the respiratory tract. Sulfur dioxide was formerly used to fumigate rat-infested ships but now it is mainly used in the preservation of fruits and vegetables. Sulfur mixed with potassium nitrate (saltpetre) and a small amount of tallow constitutes the so-called smoke ferrets; the smoke produced on burning has been used to bolt rats from their burrows when they can be killed by force.

The use of SO$_2$ as a general burrow fumigant is not recommended for use in plague reservoir control programmes.

**Village rodent control**

Control of rodent populations in villages is complicated by the constant infestation by native or commensal rodents from surrounding fields or adjacent vegetable gardens. Large-scale reduction of the rodents living in and around the village structures frequently leads to invasion of the village habitat by field rodents. Invasion may also occur on a seasonal basis when crops are harvested. Thus, control methods in villages must consider potential immigrant rodents and may have to be scheduled according to a community's cropping and harvesting practices. For plague reservoir control, a high degree of control of rodent populations in and around structures is required. Once this has been accomplished villagers should be encouraged to carry out rodent-proofing to prevent or reduce re-entry.

There is no effective way to rodent-proof the open houses common to many areas in the tropics, so it is virtually impossible to keep rats and mice from seeking harbourage in residences and shops. In Africa, southern Asia and the Pacific, village structures are infested by one or more species of commensal rodent. Under these conditions it important to at least provide rodent-proof containers for stored foods.
In carrying out treatments to eliminate rodents, it is essential to survey the entire village area for signs of rodents. Plots of vacant land, outhouses, latrines and refuse heaps as well as houses and stores must be checked. Records of the survey and of each treatment (amount of poison bait used, length of treatment, labour and transport costs and so on) should be kept to evaluate the success and cost.

In addition to poisoning, traps can be used to deal with small infestations, especially in areas subject to repeated invasion. Traps should be used in adequate numbers and maintained in good operating condition. All buildings and places frequented by rodents should be trapped, paying particular attention to latrines, cooking houses, food stores, nearby undergrowth and rubbish piles.

Conclusions

It must be emphasized that the efficient and safe control of plague rodent reservoirs requires well-trained personnel and an efficient organization. Most countries have rodent control organizations. Their personnel should receive additional training in the control of rodent reservoirs of plague before they must take the responsibility of carrying out reservoir and vector control measures. They should receive specific training in methods to protect against exposure to infection, and in the safe disposal of the bodies of rats poisoned in plague-endemic areas. Professional supervision of plague reservoir control is essential. The control of rodents in rural areas is a more difficult undertaking. In areas where plague is endemic, surveys should be carried out to ascertain the most important rodent species, their importance as reservoirs and the best methods to control them well before it becomes necessary because of an outbreak of the disease.
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