Natural hazards causing disasters that lead to human suffering are as much a product of the social, political and economic environment as they are of the natural environment. It follows, therefore, that the risk associated with natural hazards is in part a social construct that, as Young (1998) has pointed out, is perceived differently by all of us and must be defined with this mind. For example, risk is defined by Emergency Management Australia (1995) as the perceived likelihood of given levels of harm.

With this in mind, one major determinant of risk is the perceived trends in disasters. Given that a) weather hazards are the most significant natural hazards in Australia (Coates, 1998) and elsewhere (Downing et al., 1996; Dlugolecki et al., 1996; Kattenburg et al., 1996; McCarthy et al., 2001) and that b) in the latter part of the 20th century insured losses have been unprecedented (Dlugolecki et al., 1996; Kattenburg et al., 1996), the possibility of worsening trends have, understandably, attracted the attention of the insurance industry.

There are a number of possible reasons for increasing losses: a) a greater concentration of people and high-value property in vulnerable areas, mainly coastal; b) business processes have become more susceptible to damage; or c) that changes have occurred in the frequency and severity of extreme climatic events. The last of these is in line with expectations of climate change resulting from an enhanced greenhouse effect and this too has attracted the attention of the insurance industry (Dlugolecki et al. 1996, p. 543) comment: “It is a common perception in the insurance industry that there is a trend toward an increasing frequency and severity of extreme climate events.” The important question arises as to the extent to which these expectations are justifiable.

Evidence versus perceptions of worsening conditions

It is not uncommon to see media reports attributing the occurrence of extreme climate events to global warming. The problem is that no matter how outrageous the tale becomes it becomes the truth if it is told often enough, or at the very least shapes perceptions of shifting risk. According to the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Houghton et al., 1996, p. 173), “Overall, there is no evidence that extreme weather events, or climate variability has increased, in a global sense, through the 20th century...” The 2001 IPCC Report (Houghton et al., 2001b, p. 5) states that “no systematic changes in the frequency of tornadoes, thunder days, or hail are evident...” The increasing dollar cost of storm and other weather-related events could be accounted for by a rise in the value of development and number of properties, especially in tropical cyclone-prone areas (Changnon et al., 1997; Pellet and Lansa, 1998; Kuniel, Pellet and Changnon, 1999). In fact, there is great deal of research which, taken together, suggests that extreme climate events may become both less frequent and less severe when the planet warms. Some of this work is discussed here.

Storms

In the Atlantic region, the number of intense hurricanes declined during the 1970s and 1980s, and the period 1991–1994 experienced the smallest number of hurricanes of any four years over the last half century (Idso et al. 1990; Lansa et al. 1996; Bengtsson et al. 1996; Zhang and Wang 1997; Murphy and Mitchell 1995). There is also evidence from Europe that suggests a similar trend. For the period 1996–1995, Bielec (2001) analysed thunderstorm data obtained at Cracow, Poland, which is “one of the few continuous records in Europe with an intact single place of observation and duration of over 100 years.” From 1930 onward the trend is negative, revealing a linear decrease of 1.1 storms per year from 1930 to 1996. Bielec (2001)
also reports there has been a decrease in the annual number of thunderstorms with hail over the period of record, and there has been a decrease in the frequency of storms producing precipitation greater than 20 mm.

Pirazzoli (2000) analysed storm surges, atmospheric pressure and wind change and flooding probability on the Atlantic coast of France over the period 1951–1997. He found that climate variability is decreasing. Specifically, the work shows that the number of atmospheric depressions and strong winds that cause storm surges in this region are becoming less frequent, resulting in reduced frequency and severity of coastal flooding.

Nguyen, and Walsh (2001) simulated the occurrence of hurricanes in the Australia region using a general circulation model (GCM) that assumes a tripling of the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide. The results showed that the numbers of hurricanes declined in a greenhouse-warmed world and that the decline is statistically significant. In a study of Atlantic hurricanes, Goldenberg et al. (2001) show that they occur in distinct multidecadal cycles and are linked to sea-surface temperature anomalies in the Atlantic Ocean's main hurricane development region. Warm anomalies are associated with increased major hurricane activity; cold anomalies with suppressed activity. Goldenberg et al. (2001) suggest that increases in the frequency of big Atlantic hurricanes are due to natural variations in hurricane frequency and intensity rather than global warming.

The most important energy source for extratropical storms is the temperature difference between the tropics and the poles. Most GCMs project that the greatest warming will occur over the high latitudes in winter with relatively little warming in the tropics and around equatorial latitudes. This implies reduced temperature variation since such variations result from air moving from one latitude to another. Thus, according to these predictions, the future contrast between the polar and equatorial latitudes will lessen, producing a weaker gradient and fewer and less intense storms. Consistent with this, the IPCC 2001 Summary for Policymakers (Houghton, 2001b) notes that no significant upward trends have been identified in tropical or extratropical storm intensity and frequency.
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**Floods and droughts**

Lins and Slack (1999), concerned about possible increases in floods and droughts accompanying global warming, looked directly at stream flow from hundreds of rivers in the United States. They state:

“The pattern indicates that baseflows are increasing (which suggest that drought is decreasing), medium or average flow stream flows are increasing, but annual maximum flows (including floods) are neither increasing nor decreasing. Hydrologically, the nation appears to be getting wetter, but less extreme.”

Lins and Slack (1999) conclude that, as the surface air temperature of the globe gradually increased through the 20th century, the contiguous United States became
wetter but less variable at the extremes, where floods and droughts occur. In a similar study, Molnar and Ramirez (2003) analysed precipitation and streamflow trends for the period 1948–1997 in the Rio Puerco Basin of New Mexico found no change in high-intensity precipitation.

Working on the assumption that the best real-world analogue of future global warming is past periods of global warming, Nesje et. al. (2001) analysed a sediment core from a lake in southern Norway to determine the frequency and magnitude of prior floods in that region over the past thousand years. The results showed an extended phase characterised by very little flood activity coincided with the Medieval Warm Period (AD 1000–1400). This was followed by a period of extensive flood activity that corresponded with the period known as the Little Ice Age, which was characterised by lower air temperature, thicker and more long-lasting snow cover, and more frequent storms.

There has been other research carried out using long-term data. For example, the results of research by Andrus et. al. (2002) confirm the findings of several other studies that indicate the mid-Holocene was significantly warmer than it is currently. Andrus et. al. (2002) show a situation where a considerably warmer climate than that of the present was apparently unable to sustain significant El Niño activity. This demonstrates that future global warming may lead to fewer and less intense El Niño events.

Focussing specifically on droughts, several studies have examined the past 300 years during which global climate has been recovering cool conditions of the Little Ice Age and is bringing conditions closer those experienced earlier during Medieval Warm Period conditions. These studies have shown convincingly that not only does global warming not produce more frequent and severe droughts, it does just the opposite. For example, in the United States, Cronin et. al. (2000) using sediment cores from Chesapeake found that conditions were wetter than normal and droughts uncommon during the Medieval Warm Period. At other times the region had experienced several extreme droughts lasting from 60–70 years, many of which were more severe than droughts of the twentieth century. In a review of the subject, Woodhouse and Overpeck (1998) have come to a similar conclusion. Gan (1998), using data sets for the North American Midwest from the last century showed that warming is not associated with increased occurrence of drought. Benson et. al. (2002) studied sediments from Pyramid Lake, Nevada. They found that over the past 2700 years drought lasted from 20 to 100 years, while droughts of the nearst historical period have generally lasted less than a decade. Similarly, Fritz et. al. (2000) used sediment cores to construct a 2000-year history of drought for a part of the Northern Great Plains of North America. Their results indicated that droughts equal or greater in severity to those of the 1930s Dust Bowl were a common occurrence during the last 2000 years. Ljungqvist, Stahle et. al. (2000), using tree rings to develop a long-term history of drought over all of North America, found that drought during 16th century was the most extreme and prolonged in the past 2000 years, far exceeding any drought of the 20th century, including the Dust Bowl drought.

### Temperature extremes

Coles (1998) has stated that heatwaves are the most significant natural hazard in Australia in terms of loss of life. There is speculation that global warming will increase climate variability and thus the frequency of heat waves. Michaels et. al. (1998) show there is little support for this, or for the popular perception that temperatures have become more variable. They examined daily maximum and minimum temperatures from the United States, China and the former Soviet Union for day-to-day variability in January and July and most of the trends indicated declining variability (Table 1).

Several other studies have found that more warmth leads to more stable climates. Karl et. al. (1995) point out that an increase in the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide should decrease temperature variability. Balling (1998) examined changes in the spatial variability of mean monthly and daily temperatures that

| Table 1: Day-to-day air temperature variability for the United States, Peoples Republic of China and the former Soviet Union, shown as mean linear trend (°C per decade) in daily temperature variability values (Michaels et. al., 1998). |
|---|---|---|---|
| Air temperature | USA | China | USSR |
| Maximum | | | |
| January | -0.19 | -1.13 | 0.07 |
| July | -0.13 | 0.06 | -0.02 |
| Minimum | | | |
| January | -0.26 | -1.32 | -0.37 |
| July | -0.19 | 0.06 | -0.08 |
have occurred during the historical climate record. His research showed that, overall, the spatial variability in temperature anomalies has declined, and that the interannual variability in temperature anomalies is negatively correlated to mean hemispheric temperatures. Balling et al. (1998) and Michaels et al. (1998) both show that as the atmosphere warms, the month-to-month variability also declines (Figure 1).

Karl et al. (1997) state that GCMs predict temperatures will be confined to a tighter range. This is confirmed by Easterling et al. (1997) who found that most of the increase in global temperatures has been occurring during the winter and at night. Summer maximum air temperatures in the Northern Hemisphere showed no statistically significant trend. If these forecasts are correct, variability in the data will shrink more than the predicted mean warming and give a distribution shown schematically in Figure 2.

**Conclusion**

Global warming involves a scientifically realistic mechanism that links climate change to the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. Although the future state of global climate is uncertain, there is little reason to believe that catastrophic change is underway. Moreover, there is little or no evidence to suggest any change will result in an increased risk of natural disasters caused by increased frequency and severity of climate extremes. Climate models suggest that increases in greenhouse gases are likely to give rise to a warmer and wetter climate in most places. Generally higher latitudes would warm more than lower (equatorial) latitudes. This means mid-latitude wetter and coupled with increased atmospheric carbon dioxide, which is food for plants, it also means a more robust biosphere with more forest, crops and ground cover for more animals and people.
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