"Pack year" smoking histories: what about patients who use loose tobacco?
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Life time smoking exposure is quantified in “pack years”, where one “pack year” is 20 cigarettes smoked/day for one year.\(^1\) Quantification of pack years smoked is important in clinical care where degree of tobacco exposure is closely related to risk of disease. Smokers suffer an irreversible forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV\(_1\)) loss of 4.4–10.4 ml per pack year smoked.\(^2\) \(^3\) There is a strong dose–response relation between the number of pack years smoked and the risk,\(^3\) severity,\(^1\) and mortality\(^4\) of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and the risk of lung cancer.\(^5\) Pack year smoking histories are important for quantification of tobacco exposure in research for direct study, to define patient groups or to determine confounding effects of smoking on outcomes from other exposures.

Although the number of ready made cigarettes smoked can easily be quantified in pack years, there is no well established method for measuring loose tobacco use. In this study we determined whether there was a need to quantify loose tobacco exposure and developed a simple method for converting loose tobacco use into pack years.

**PARTICIPANTS, METHODS, AND RESULTS**

Prevalence of previous or current loose tobacco use was investigated by a two day cross sectional survey of hospital in-patients > 16 years old. Age, sex, in-patient speciality, smoking history, and lifetime loose tobacco use of participants were recorded. The relation between ready made cigarettes and loose tobacco was estimated by weight. The ability of physicians of different grades (table 1) to calculate pack years was assessed by questionnaire. Scenarios for ready made cigarettes (n = 5) and loose tobacco (n = 5) were given for conversion into total number of pack years smoked.

A total of 333 hospital in-patients were approached and 247 (74%) completed the survey. Non-participants were confused (n = 17), unwilling (n = 14), or too unwell (n = 55). Of the participants, 22.7% were current smokers, 41.3% were ex-smokers, and 36% had never smoked; 41.3% of current/ex-smokers and 25.9% of all participants had smoked loose tobacco. Males (40.5%) were more likely than females to be current/ex-smokers (males 71%, females 58.3%, p = 0.029, \( \chi^2 \) test) or have smoked loose tobacco (males 62.9%, females 23.2%, p < 0.0001). Participants under medical or surgical consultants were equally likely to be current/ex-smokers (p = 0.34) or have smoked loose tobacco (p = 0.24). Ages were similar in those who had (mean (SD) 62.0 (18.6) years) or had not smoked loose tobacco (61.8 (20.4) years, p = 0.45, unpaired t test).

The mean (SD) weight of tobacco per ready made cigarette was 0.73 (0.029) (n = 20) and 20 cigarettes approximated a 12.5 g (1/2 ounce) sachet of loose tobacco. Loose tobacco users usually describe tobacco smoked in “ounces per week”, which can be converted into pack years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Responses of medical and surgical pre-registration house officers (PRHO), medical senior house officers (SHO), respiratory specialist registrars (SpR), and general medicine consultants to history taking questionnaire and scenario assessment. Results are based on returned questionnaires</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical/surgical PRHO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number surveyed</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of respondents (%)</td>
<td>18 (78.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converts ready made cigarette use into “pack years” in clinical practice (% of respondents)</td>
<td>13 (72.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converts loose tobacco use into “pack years” in clinical practice (% of respondents)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario score (maximum 5) for conversion of ready made cigarettes into pack years (mean (SD) of respondents)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario score (maximum 5) for conversion of loose tobacco use into pack years (mean (SD) of respondents)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ounces per week × 2/7 × number of years smoked = pack years

Fifty five of 69 (79.7%) physicians approached completed the questionnaire (table 1). Physicians were able to and commonly did convert ready made cigarettes into pack years (score 4.1±1.4/5). Physicians were unable to quantify loose tobacco use (score 0±0.2/5).

DISCUSSION
We have shown that many smokers use loose tobacco, and that clinicians are unable to quantify loose tobacco use. We have therefore developed a simple formula to allow quantification of loose tobacco and ready made cigarette consumption in the same “units” for estimation of total smoking load. This formula has application both in clinical practice and research.

The formula has limitations as it does not allow for different smoking habits. Loose tobacco is more commonly smoked without a filter than ready made cigarettes, and smoking habits are determined by tar and nicotine content of the tobacco. Despite this we feel our formula is useful because of the strong dose–response relation between total tobacco consumption and ill health. Other variables such as inhalation and use of filters have not consistently been shown to influence clinical outcomes.
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What this paper adds
There is no well established method for quantifying lifetime use of loose tobacco. We found that loose tobacco use was common in hospital in-patients, implying that measurement of this use was desirable. We therefore developed a simple weight based conversion of loose tobacco into ‘’pack years’’ smoked which should be used in clinical practice and research: ounces per week × 2/7 × number of years smoked = pack years
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