DIGESTIVE AND FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES OF A PARTIALLY HYDROLYZED CASSAVA SOLID WASTE WITH HIGH INSOLUBLE FIBER CONCENTRATION
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ABSTRACT: Starch factories generate large amounts of cassava solid waste. A small amount is utilized for animal feed but most of it is discharged with deleterious effects to the environment. A edible food with a high content of insoluble dietary fiber (60.9%), named “partially hidrolyzed cassava waste” (PHCW), was prepared from industrial cassava solid waste by an enzymatic process. PHCW or wheat bran (WB) were fed to model rats and both promoted digestive function effects, but PHCW produced the greatest effect. The insoluble fiber constituent from PHCW (and not the soluble fiber), promoted the greatest fecal bulking, fecal weight and defecation frequency in rats, as compared to WB. Such results indicate that the partially hydrolyzed cassava waste presents digestive function properties which allow it to be used as an adequate source of insoluble dietary fiber in the formulation of functional food for human nutrition.
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INTRODUCTION

Cassava processing plants produce not only tapioca starch and “polvilho”, a naturally-fermented cassava starch, typical products of Brazil, but also a solid, moist by-product, the cassava solid waste, which represents an environmental problem. Drying cassava waste, to produce bran/rough flour for animal feed is economically unfeasible, because of low market prices (Lima, 1982; Cereda, 1994; Vilpoux & Cereda, 1995; Raupp et al., 1998; 1999). The nutritional composition of cassava solid waste was determined by Raupp et al. (1999), and its dietary fiber (43.1%, dry weight) and starch contents (47.1%, dry weight), as well as the amount yielded (Cereda, 1996), justify the search for processes that would enable a more noble use for this foodstuff.

Dietary fibers present carbohydrates that are not digested by the digestive enzymes in the human body, but some can be hydrolyzed or degraded by natural microbiota present especially in the colon. Pectins, mucilages and gums can be completely degraded, while hemicellulose presents a variable degree of degradation, and cellulose is only slightly degraded. The importance of fibers is related to the physiological effect, both for digestive function regulation and for controlling and/or preventing gastrointestinal diseases or related malfunctions, such as constipation, diverticulitis, digestive cancer, cholesterolemia and glycemia. The ability many fibers have to bind...
Properties of a partially hydrolyzed cassava solid waste

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The raw material “cassava solid waste”, a discharged by-product in starch factories, was collected in factories in the region of Tibagi, Paraná, where it is still considered waste material. The process utilized for obtaining “polvilho” (naturally fermented starch) from cassava, which also yields the discharged “cassava solid waste” is summarized ahead.

The cassava roots are washed and peeled in machines operating under continuous water stream. Dirt and superficial brown peel are eliminated in this first step. Roots are then ground and transformed, into a mass, which is conducted to a vibrating sifting system all under running water. The starch is carried by water to a brusher which compress the cassava mass forward against the gutter walls and the starch-rich water passes through the gutter holes, transporting the starch through of pipes to a cylindrical collector located under the brushing system. The water carries the starch from the cylindrical collector to a maze-shaped tank, where starch settling (sedimentation) occurs.

The surfacing water, referred to as “manipueira”, is collected into settling ponds exposed to the environment. The freshly obtained moist starch is transported to a tank for natural fermentation. The mass or cake is then air dried and ground, resulting in the fermented product “polvilho azedo” (sour starch). The discharged material produced in the sifting system and in the brusher constitutes the “cassava solid waste”.

A concentrate dietary fiber feed, referred to as “partially hydrolyzed cassava waste” (PHCW), was prepared from the cassava solid waste as recommended by Raupp et al. (2002). An enzymatic hydrolysis process was applied to the cassava solid waste, consisting in the use of the amylolytic commercial enzymes termamyl and amyloglucosidase (AMG), for saccharification of the remaining starch. Pectinex, an enzyme that acts on the fibers that make up the cell wall, was also applied to improve the efficiency of the amylolytic hydrolysis process.

The solid matter that resisted the hydrolysis process, after separation from the liquid portion by filtration followed by washing under running water, was dried in circulation oven with the temperature adjusted between 60 and 70°C and homogenized in an industrial blender, resulting in the product specified as “partially hydrolyzed cassava waste” (PHCW).

The rehydration capacity was determined according to Schweizer & Edwards (1992), while granulometry was determined by sifting a 100 g sample for 30 minutes in a 2.0 - 1.0 - 0.25 – 0.105 – 0.059 mm mesh sieves sequence. The portions retained by each sieve were weighed and their relative proportions in the product were calculated.

The PHCW was incorporated into diets and fed to growing model rats, to evaluate its digestive function properties, food intake, feed conversion ratio (FCR) and operational protein efficiency ratio (PERop). Wheat bran (WB) was used as a fiber source standard. The trial consisted of seven treatments with six rats/treatment, individually housed according to a random block design. Three treatments received PCHW at rates of 5%, 15% or 25% of the diet; three treatments received WB as a comparison standard also at the rates of 5%, 15% or 25%; and one treatment did not receive any source of fiber.

Diets were prepared out of casein provided by Kauffmann & Co., 90.39% protein (%N × 6.40; AOAC, 1984), dry basis; refined soybean oil; corn starch (purity degree of 99.8%, dry basis); vitamin mix and mineral mix, both prepared in the laboratory according to the AIN-93G formulation (Reeves et al., 1993). The base diet was prepared according to the AIN-93G formulation (Reeves et al., 1993) to contain: casein 20%; soybean oil 7%; mineral mix 3.5%; vitamin mix 1%; L-cystine 0.3%; choline bitartrate 0.25%. Starch, the only digestible polysaccharide source in the base diet, was utilized q.s. 100%.
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PHCW or the WB standard were added to the base diet as the only source of dietary fiber at 5%, 15% or 25%, as a replacement for equal percentage of starch. The AIN-93G formulation (Reeves et al., 1993) provides 5% fiber in a regular diet, and the fiber constituent in the base diet was replaced with PHCW or with WB (Table 1).

Model animals were 21-day-old “Specific Pathogen Free” (SPF) albino rats, Wistar strain. During the assay, the temperature in the laboratory was maintained at 21 ± 2°C, with automatic alternation of 12 hour photo-periods. Diet and water were provided *ad libitum*. The assay lasted 33 days, including 5 days for adaptation to the experimental environment.

Feces were collected, food intake weight and body weight were determined each other days for each rat. Feces were oven-dried (70°C), fecal weight and bulk were determined, and the fecal units were counted to determine the number of defecations. Upon determination of body weight and amount of food and protein consumed, feed conversion ratio (FCR) (Sgarbieri, 1987) and the operational protein efficiency ratio (PERop) were calculated, respectively. The FCR value was obtained, by dividing the animal’s weight gain (g) by the amount of food consumed during the assay; the PERop value was obtained by dividing the animal’s weight difference (g) by the amount of protein ingested during the assay. The amount of protein was quantified by Kjeldhal methodology and the 6.40 factor was utilized to convert the nitrogen percentages into protein (AOAC, 1984).

Data were submitted to analysis of variance and treatment means were compared by Tukey test (*P* = 0.05), according to Banzatto & Kronka (1995). Data were also evaluated by polynomial regression analysis to fit a statistical function relating the characteristics (variables) as a function of rates of dietary fiber sources utilized in the diets, (Banzatto & Kronka, 1995).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The interferences of PHCW in defecation frequency, weight and bulk of feces produced by the rats are shown in Table 2. Diets containing either PHCW or WB stimulated the animals’ digestive tract function, as compared to the base diet (without a FS).

The incorporation of 5%, 15% or 25% of PHCW or WB to the base diet resulted in higher no. of defecations, weight and bulk of dry feces produced by the animals. Only the group receiving the diet containing 5% WB did not differ from the group receiving the base diet with regard to the no. of defecations (Table 2).

The polynomial regression analysis showed that there is a high degree of fitting, according to a linear re-

---

### Table 1 - Composition (dry basis)* of dietary fiber sources utilized in the formulation of diets to feed model rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber source</th>
<th>Dietary fiber</th>
<th>Digestible carbohydrate*</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Lipid</th>
<th>Ash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partially hydrolyzed cassava waste (PHCW)²</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat bran (WB)²</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mean of three analytical determinations; ·solid matter remaining after hydrolysis; commercial obtained; ·estimated by percentage difference.

### Table 2 - Mean values of treatments and results from Tukey test between means, for variables measured in a 28-day biological assay with rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>cv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without FS</strong> PHCW WB PHCW WB PHCW WB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of defecations per 100 g ingestion</td>
<td>116.6 f</td>
<td>181.4 de</td>
<td>145.0 ef</td>
<td>343.4 b</td>
<td>208.7 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of dry feces per 100 g ingestion</td>
<td>3.6 g</td>
<td>7.0 e</td>
<td>5.6 f</td>
<td>15.0 b</td>
<td>9.1 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk of dry feces per 100 g ingestion</td>
<td>4.4 e</td>
<td>16.5 c</td>
<td>10.2 d</td>
<td>35.4 b</td>
<td>18.4 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake during 28-day assay (g)</td>
<td>338.4 a</td>
<td>394.8 a</td>
<td>384.7 a</td>
<td>413.4 a</td>
<td>377.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight gain during 28-day assay (g)</td>
<td>114.2 a</td>
<td>148.9 a</td>
<td>143.4 a</td>
<td>137.4 a</td>
<td>139.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed conversion ratio (FCR)</td>
<td>0.33 ab</td>
<td>0.38 a</td>
<td>0.38 a</td>
<td>0.33 ab</td>
<td>0.37 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational protein efficiency ratio (PERop)</td>
<td>1.86 ab</td>
<td>2.02 ab</td>
<td>2.30 ab</td>
<td>1.94 ab</td>
<td>1.67 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in the rows showing distinct letters differ (*P* < 0.05); Without FS = without a fiber source; PHCW = partially hydrolyzed cassava waste; WB = commercially obtained wheat bran (standard); cv = coefficient of variation.
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gression model, both for the treatment containing PHCW and for the treatment containing WB, for the dependent variables: no. of defecations per 100g ingestion (PHCW: $Y = 113.94 + 14.84 X$, $r^2 = 0.9987$; WB: $Y = 113.86 + 6.56 X$, $r^2 = 0.9982$); weight of dry feces per 100 g ingestion (PHCW: $Y = 3.56 + 0.74 X$, $r^2 = 0.9988$; WB: $Y = 3.66 + 0.38 X$, $r^2 = 0.9991$); and, bulk of dry feces per 100g ingestion (PHCW: $Y = 3.20 + 2.47 X$, $r^2 = 0.9855$; WB: $Y = 3.88 + 1.13 X$, $r^2 = 0.9816$). There was a linear correlation between rate (5%, 15%, 25%) of the fiber source PHCW or WB, and each of the dependent variables under study.

In general, PHCW produced more pronounced effects than WB on variables no. of defecations, weight and bulk of dry feces (Table 2). At the rates of 5%, 15% or 25% of the PHCW or WB, values for weight and bulk of dry feces produced per 100 g ingestion were higher for PHCW. With regard to the no. of defecations per 100 g ingestion, values were also higher for PHCW at the 15% or 25% rates. However, at the 5% rate there was no difference between PHCW and WB.

PHCW presented 1.6 times the content of dietary fiber in the WB (60.9% against 37.7%), and a similar proportion of digestible carbohydrate (24.6% against 24.7%). However, it showed about one fourth of protein (4.7% against 17.5%) and lipid (0.7% against 3.0%) and 40% of the ash content (2.2% against 5.6%) (Table 1, Raupp et al., 2002).

The bulk and weight of feces, as well as the number of defecations, produced by rats receiving PHCW were higher, as compared with those produced by rats that received WB (Table 2), probably, because the PHCW source had 1.6-fold greater content of dietary fiber than WB standard (Table 1, Raupp et al., 2002). Therefore, considering the proportion of dietary fiber (dry weight) equal to 60.9% for PHCW and 37.7% for WB (Table 1, Raupp et al., 2002), diets that received PHCW showed fiber contents of PHCW diets were 3.0%, 9.1% or 15.2%, while diets and fiber contents of WB diets were 1.9%, 5.6% or 9.4%, respectively, for diets containing fiber source rates of 5%, 15% or 25%.

Another explanation for these results is that PHCW fiber source presented greater degree of hydration than WB (Table 3) under agitation a condition that occurs in the rat’s digestive tract. In addition, because of the process by which it was obtained the dietary fiber in the PHCW, consisted basically of insoluble fiber (Table 1; Raupp et al., 2002), which is a low-viscosity, structural fiber, not hydrolyzed by the digestive juices until it reaches the small bowel, and is subject to very little degradation by the fermentable bacteria that typically occur in the large bowel flora of rats.

Two groups - 15% PHCW and 25% WB - (Table 2), received diets containing similar amounts of dietary fiber respectively 9.1% and 9.4%. The group treated with PHCW produced a greater no. of defecations and dry feces weight than the WB group. The greater degree of hydration as well as the high proportion of insoluble fiber constituent of PHCW (Table 3), (Table 1; Raupp et al., 2002), which is very little degraded in the rat’s digestive tract, explain these results.

In a previous research (Raupp et al., 1999), a meal product, special cassava meal, was prepared from cassava solid waste produced by a starch factory, and suggested to be used in human nutrition as a potential source of dietary fiber because it had a digestive physiological function typical of insoluble dietary fibers. More recently, Raupp et al. (2002) submitted cassava solid waste from a starch factory to enzymatic hydrolysis by amylolytic enzymes and produced a product the partially hydrolyzed cassava waste (PHCW). The enzymatic hydrolysis process applied removed 69.13% dry weight from the cassava solid waste, and 30.87% were resistant to hydrolysis, constituting the PHCW.

A lower proportion of insoluble dietary fiber - 43.1%, dry weight (Raupp et al., 1999) - was determined for the cassava solid waste material from the starch factory, while for PHCW the proportion was 60.9% dry weight, (Table 1; Raupp et al., 2002) Digestible carbohydrates, starch being the main component, represented 47.1% (dry weight) of the cassava solid waste from the starch factory (Raupp et al., 1999), almost twice the proportion determined for PHCW, which was 24.6% (Table 1, Raupp et al., 2002). Therefore, the enzymatic hydrolysis applied by Raupp et al. (2002) to the cassava solid waste from the starch factory caused a reduction in digestible carbohydrates in the PHCW, but concentrated the dietary fiber constituent.

Leonel (1998) characterized a solid product also prepared by enzymatic hydrolysis followed by filter pressing, from cassava bran produced by a starch factory, and obtained the following results: 0.5% ash, 38% total sugars, only 18% fiber, with 39% residual starch remaining in it. Cereda (1996) also found 75.0% starch and 11.5% fiber in the bran, another designation of the cassava solid waste.

Table 3 - Degree of hydration\(^1\) with and without agitation, of dietary fiber sources utilized in the formulation of diets for rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Partially hydrolyzed cassava waste(^2)</th>
<th>Wheat Bran(^3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without agitation</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With agitation</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)mean of three analytical determinations, utilizing a 100 g sample; 
\(^2\)solid matter remaining after hydrolysis; 
\(^3\)commercially obtained.
Differences found in distinct papers for fiber can be attributed in part to the application of different analytical techniques. Cereda (1996) and Leonel (1998) used the chemical hydrolysis analytical technique for crude fiber determination, while Raupp et al. (1999; 2002) used the enzymatic technique, according to Prosky et al. (1988), to determine dietary fiber.

Granulometry (Table 4), and especially the high concentration of dietary fiber (Table 1; Raupp et al., 2002) could explain the higher degree of hydration (Table 3) of PHCW, as compared to WB, when both fiber sources remained submerged in water under agitation. Under agitation, PHCW increased dry volume 4.3 times, while WB increased its dry volume 2.2 times. However, without agitation, i.e., when the products remained in contact with static water, the WB showed hydration capacity just slightly higher (2-fold WB against 1.7-fold for PHCW). These properties apparently influenced the digestive function variables measured in model rats during the biological assays.

The ingestion of insoluble fiber increases body weight and fecal bulking, as well as frequency defecations, both in model animals and in humans, as shown by Walker (1975), Eastwood et al. (1984), Schneemann (1987), Seva-Pereira et al. (1991), Schweizer & Edwards (1992), Saura Calixto (1993), Raupp & Sgarbieri (1996; 1997), Raupp et al. (1999; 2000), as a result of the normalization of the digestive function. However, Raupp & Sgarbieri (1997) studied the nutritional and physiological-digestive effect of a high-viscosity soluble fiber in model rats and demonstrated that this fiber produced, in the rats’ digestive tract effects that would be attributable to a dietary fiber that is little degraded by the intestinal fermentative flora in these animals. The insoluble dietary fiber components that were part of the PHCW source (and not its soluble fraction) were also observed by Mikkelsen et al. (1979) and Krotkiewski (1984) in humans and rats fed cellulose and guar gum, respectively, and also by Davies et al. (1991), who fed rats with diets containing purified fibers, either pectin or cellulose. Increase in satiety and reduction in food ingestion were also observed by Bolton et al. (1981) as a function of dietary fibers from several sources.

The addition of PHCW as a fiber source to the diet produced, in the rats’ digestive tract effects that would be attributable to a dietary fiber that is little degraded by the intestinal fermentative flora in these animals. The insoluble dietary fiber components that were part of the PHCW source (and not its soluble fraction) contributed the most toward greater feces bulking and weight, and therefore to a greater number of defecations. PHCW shows as potential, alternative source of dietary fiber for the formulation of feeds for a normal human diet, and especially for the formulation of special feeds, consumed by groups of individuals aiming to maintain or normalize digestive tract function.

**Table 4 - Granulometry (w/w) of dietary fiber sources utilized in the formulation of diets for rats.**

| Size of particles | Partially hydrolyzed cassava waste | Wheat Bran
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 to 1.0</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to 0.25</td>
<td>74.96</td>
<td>61.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 to 0.105</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>35.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.105 to 0.059</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.059</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1mean of three analytical determinations, utilizing a 100 g sample; 2solid matter remaining after hydrolysis; 3commercially obtained.
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