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Tobacco use in popular movies during the past decade

C Mekemson, D Glik, K Titus, A Myerson, A Shaivitz, A Ang, S Mitchell

Objective: The top 50 commercially successful films released per year from 1991 to 2000 were content coded to assess trends in tobacco use over time and attributes of films predictive of higher smoking rates.

Design: This observational study used media content analysis methods to generate data about tobacco use depictions in films studied (n = 497). Films are the basic unit of analysis. Once films were coded and preliminary analysis completed, outcome data were transformed to approximate multivariate normality before being analysed with general linear models and longitudinal mixed method regression methods.

Main outcome measures: Tobacco use per minute of film was the main outcome measure used. Predictor variables include attributes of films and actors. Tobacco use was defined as any cigarette, cigar, and chewing tobacco use as well as the display of smoke and cigarette paraphernalia such as ashtrays, brand names, or logos within frames of films reviewed.

Results: Smoking rates in the top films fluctuated yearly over the decade with an overall modest downward trend (p < 0.005), with the exception of R rated films where rates went up.

Conclusions: The decrease in smoking rates found in films in the past decade is modest given extensive efforts to educate the entertainment industry on this issue over the past decade. Monitoring, education, advocacy, and policy change to bring tobacco depiction rates down further should continue.

METHODS

The sample consists of 497 movies released between 1991 and 2001, representing the top 50 movies per year for 10 years released based on domestic box office (DBO) gross, the amount of money made by the film in the first year of release. Ratings are based on data from World Wide Box Office17 and Movies.com Box Office Report.18 Other data on movie ratings, genre, film length, leading actors, and production facts are gathered from the Internet Movie database.19 Slightly fewer than 500 films are in the final sample because of missing data.

All movies were reviewed in video format for accuracy and ease of confirmation of coded content. To assure accuracy, each movie was viewed in its entirety and coded by three reviewers. Kappa reliability scores were over 0.85. Concordance coding, where there is agreement between coders, was used to determine final scores entered. For this media content analysis, films are the basic unit of analysis and tobacco use incidents and attributes of films the main variables coded.20 21

Dependent variables

Tobacco incidents per minute are defined as total incidents of tobacco use divided by length of film in minutes. Thus 0.17 would mean that for a 120 minute film there would be approximately 21 smoking incidents or one incident for every 5–6 minutes of film.

Independent variables

Attributes of films include the year the film was released. Film ratings follow MPAA guidelines where “1” is G (no restrictions), “2” is PG (parental guidance), “3” is PG -13 (parental guidance and children under 13 not admitted without adult companion) and “4” is R (under 17 not admitted without adult companion). For mixed model regression analyses these film ratings each were recoded to “1” if true or “0” if not true for the specific film. Genre of film is also coded in two ways. First the primary genre of film is coded in six categories where “1” is action/adventure, “2” is horror/thriller, “3” is drama, “4” is family, “5” is comedy, and “6” is fantasy/sci-fi. For mixed model regression analyses these film genres were each re-coded to “1” if true or “0” if not true for the specific film. Finally number of lead actors who smoke within each film was coded.

Power transformations of dependent variable of tobacco use incidents per minute were carried out using square root transformations, as distributions are positively skewed with most films having low or no smoking while a few films may have much higher rates than average.22 The general linear model technique was used to assess the relative contribution of film attributes such as year of release, rating, and genre, as predictive of the amount of smoking in the films. Then a mixed models regression analysis was used a random effects

Abbreviations: DBO, domestic box office; MPAA, Motion Picture Association of America; TUTD, Thumbs Up! Thumbs Down!
model to account for the random fluctuations around the mean of the outcome variable (tobacco use incidents per minute of film) across years of the film sample.23–24 Fixed effects represent predictors of tobacco use rates and were included in mixed models regression analyses.

RESULTS

In the TUTD data set used in this analysis, approximately 75% of films have some tobacco use. Of films with tobacco use, one third of the films had very low use (1–9 incidents), one third had moderate tobacco use (10–29 incidents), and one third had high or “problematic” rates of tobacco use (more than 30 instances). These findings are consistent with other studies.

In the left and middle columns of table 1 average rates of smoking per minute of film range from 0.129 in 2000–01 (mean = 15.34 incidents per film or one incident every 7–8 minutes) to 0.235 in 1991–92 (mean = 34.58 incidents per film or one incident every 3–4 minutes). Total incidents of smoking per minute of film (square root transformation) were included as predictors of tobacco use rates and were significant effects for MPAA rating (F = 3.85, p < 0.01), indicating more smoking for R rated films, and for year of release (F = 2.68, p < 0.01) indicating overall smoking decreased slightly over time. At the same time, positive coefficients for drama and R rated films for the genre X year of release (F = 1.44, p < .05) was significant, as action/mission films mirror more general findings. Both models reveal a negative coefficient for rate of change indicating that over the 10 years data were collected, tobacco use in films sampled decreased, although the actual rate of decrease is modest. At the same time, positive coefficients for drama and R rated films for the general linear models analysis with smoking incidents per minute of film as the dependent variable, the overall model explains 21% of the variance in tobacco use rates, a moderate effect.

In table 2 results from analyses using longitudinal linear mixed models are presented. Model 1 uses the total sample of films from all MPAA rating categories (n = 497). Model 2 includes only films from G, PG and PG-13 categories (n = 289), to test whether trends in youth oriented films includes only films from G, PG and PG-13 categories (n = 289), to test whether trends in youth oriented films mirror more general findings. Both models reveal a negative coefficient for rate of change indicating that over the 10 years data were collected, tobacco use in films sampled decreased, although the actual rate of decrease is modest. At the same time, positive coefficients for drama and R rated films for the total sample indicate that even as tobacco use in films was

---

**Table 1** Tobacco incidents in films by year released

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of release (no. of films)</th>
<th>Total tobacco incidents Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Tobacco incidents/min Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Square root tobacco incidents/min Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991–92 (50)</td>
<td>34.58 (55.396)</td>
<td>0.236 (0.253)</td>
<td>0.406 (0.269)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992–93 (50)</td>
<td>15.64 (20.880)</td>
<td>0.131 (0.155)</td>
<td>0.286 (0.225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993–94 (50)</td>
<td>25.24 (33.323)</td>
<td>0.196 (0.206)</td>
<td>0.364 (0.256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994–95 (50)</td>
<td>23.72 (31.470)</td>
<td>0.183 (0.228)</td>
<td>0.332 (0.272)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995–96 (50)</td>
<td>26.14 (61.124)</td>
<td>0.150 (0.209)</td>
<td>0.286 (0.264)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996–97 (50)</td>
<td>21.28 (24.893)</td>
<td>0.182 (0.206)</td>
<td>0.342 (0.257)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997–98 (50)</td>
<td>22.46 (22.939)</td>
<td>0.193 (0.197)</td>
<td>0.370 (0.239)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998–99 (52)</td>
<td>15.34 (23.599)</td>
<td>0.132 (0.198)</td>
<td>0.255 (0.262)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999–00 (50)</td>
<td>15.52 (22.964)</td>
<td>0.143 (0.233)</td>
<td>0.263 (0.275)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–01 (45)</td>
<td>15.318 (18.853)</td>
<td>0.129 (0.155)</td>
<td>0.274 (0.240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>21.539 (34.824)</td>
<td>0.168 (0.207)</td>
<td>0.318 (0.259)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2** Generalised effects mixed model regression for incidents of tobacco use per minute of film (square root transformation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed effects</th>
<th>Model 1 (total sample)</th>
<th>Model 2 (G, PG, PG-13 films)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>t Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of change</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-2.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>1.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comedy</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drama</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>2.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horror</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>-0.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sci-fi</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>1.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG-13</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R rated</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>2.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action X PG-13</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action X R</td>
<td>-0.323</td>
<td>-1.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comedy X PG-13</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comedy X R</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drama X PG-13</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drama X R</td>
<td>-0.323</td>
<td>-1.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horror X PG-13</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horror X R</td>
<td>-0.112</td>
<td>-0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sci-fi X PG-13</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sci-fi X R</td>
<td>-0.307</td>
<td>-1.283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 (n = 497): R² = 0.431 (adjusted = 0.155).
Model 2 (n = 289): R² = 0.40 (adjusted = 0.12).

*p<0.05
decreasing overall, films with these attributes had an increase in tobacco use. Translated into real rates this means that over a 10 year period, for all films considered, there was an average annual decline of 0.99 incidents of smoking per film, while for youth oriented movies there was an average annual decline of 1.26 incidents of smoking per film. The first model explains 15% of the variance in tobacco use rates. In the second model the rate of decrease is slightly higher and only the attribute of being a drama counters the overall downward trend. This model explains 12% of the variance.

Rates of tobacco use per minute of film was highly positively correlated with the number of lead actors who smoked, both for the total sample \( (r = 0.83, p < 0.01, \text{two tailed}) \) and for youth oriented films \( (r = 0.81, p < 0.01, \text{two tailed}) \). Because of the high intercorrelation between these two variables, number of lead actors was not used as an independent variable in multivariate models, in order to better understand the effects of other independent variables. Rates of smoking in films sampled were not correlated with box office gross \( (r = -0.089, \text{NS}) \).

**DISCUSSION**

Results suggest that although the rates of tobacco use in films over the past decade have declined overall, this trend is not strong. More interesting is that despite yearly fluctuations and a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in films have been relatively stable over the past decade. Smoking rates in R rated films and dramas went up over the decade. And a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in PG–13 films have declined overall, this trend is not strong. Results suggest that although the rates of tobacco use in films over the past decade have declined overall, this trend is not strong. More interesting is that despite yearly fluctuations and a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in films have been relatively stable over the past decade. Smoking rates in R rated films and dramas went up over the decade. And a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in PG–13 films have declined overall, this trend is not strong. Results suggest that although the rates of tobacco use in films over the past decade have declined overall, this trend is not strong. More interesting is that despite yearly fluctuations and a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in films have been relatively stable over the past decade. Smoking rates in R rated films and dramas went up over the decade. And a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in PG–13 films have declined overall, this trend is not strong. Results suggest that although the rates of tobacco use in films over the past decade have declined overall, this trend is not strong. More interesting is that despite yearly fluctuations and a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in films have been relatively stable over the past decade. Smoking rates in R rated films and dramas went up over the decade. And a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in PG–13 films have declined overall, this trend is not strong. Results suggest that although the rates of tobacco use in films over the past decade have declined overall, this trend is not strong. More interesting is that despite yearly fluctuations and a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in films have been relatively stable over the past decade. Smoking rates in R rated films and dramas went up over the decade. And a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in PG–13 films have declined overall, this trend is not strong. Results suggest that although the rates of tobacco use in films over the past decade have declined overall, this trend is not strong. More interesting is that despite yearly fluctuations and a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in films have been relatively stable over the past decade. Smoking rates in R rated films and dramas went up over the decade. And a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in PG–13 films have declined overall, this trend is not strong. Results suggest that although the rates of tobacco use in films over the past decade have declined overall, this trend is not strong. More interesting is that despite yearly fluctuations and a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in films have been relatively stable over the past decade. Smoking rates in R rated films and dramas went up over the decade. And a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in PG–13 films have declined overall, this trend is not strong. Results suggest that although the rates of tobacco use in films over the past decade have declined overall, this trend is not strong. More interesting is that despite yearly fluctuations and a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in films have been relatively stable over the past decade. Smoking rates in R rated films and dramas went up over the decade. And a slight significant downward drift, rates of smoking in PG–13 films have declined overall, this trend is not strong.

These downward trends, particularly in youth oriented films, may be linked to changing norms about tobacco use, changes in policies within entertainment production companies, and possibly entertainment industry responses to anti-tobacco consumer demands and consumer advocacy groups. There is some evidence moreover that rates have gone back up in films released between 2001 and 2003, but whether this is a short term fluctuation or long term trend cannot be determined at this time.9

For rates to continue to decline it is important that efforts continue to be made to induce celebrities, entertainment industry professionals, and executives to work to reduce tobacco depictions on screen. Rigorous media monitoring to account for these trends also needs to continue to be supported.
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**APPENDIX**

More detailed explanations of methods and analysis can be found in the Appendix. To view the Appendix please visit the Tobacco Control website—http://www.tobaccocontrol.com/supplemental
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