Skopp, J.C. 1986. Soil-testing and calibration with ion-exchange resins for fertilizer-P recommendation and 333 K. Phosphate release patterns determined as P uptake by exchange resins were best described by diffusion models. The nature of diffusional limitation was discriminated on the basis of activation energies (E_a), which ranged from 42.03 to 48.72 kJ mol^{-1}. The range of E_a was consistent with, and close to, 42.1 kJ mol^{-1} for intraparticle diffusion-controlled ion-exchange reactions. The P diffusion coefficients ranged from 2.81 to 4.71 \times 10^{-13} m^2 s^{-1} under shaking conditions, but decreased to 1.42 to 2.68 \times 10^{-13} m^2 s^{-1} for nonshaking conditions probably because of large films that developed around the resin beads under static or nonshaking conditions. The free energy of activation \Delta G^{\ddagger} and entropy of activation \Delta S^{\ddagger} were lower than those of soils studied by means of resin bags indicating favorable P exchange reactions and less stearic inhibition for the resins. The enthalpy of activation \Delta H^{\ddagger} for the overall P exchange reactions was strongly endothermic.

In the last 15 years, there has been a considerable amount of research on the use and adaptation of mixed ion-exchange resins for routine soil testing for macro- and micronutrients (Raij et al., 1986; Yang and Skogley, 1992; Agbenin et al., 1999). The purpose of a cation sink in mixed extraction of available P is to stimulate the dissolution of soluble and some sparingly soluble P compounds (Robinson et al., 1992) which, otherwise, would not readily participate in phosphate exchange reactions with ion-exchange resins, but are nonetheless important for residual and long-term P availability in soils. Soils testing and calibration with ion-exchange resins for fertilizer-P recommendation and management can be improved if the kinetic processes that regulate P release from the soils and uptake by exchange resins are understood.

The kinetics of P release and sorption in soils is a subject of importance in soil and environmental sciences primarily because P uptake by plants spans over time. Thus, kinetic information is required to properly characterize the P supplying capacity of soils, to design fertilizer-P management to optimize efficiency, to reduce environmental pollution, and to develop guidelines for the disposal of P-rich wastes onto the land (Skopp, 1986). Another reason for kinetic study is to obtain information on reaction mechanisms (Skopp, 1986).

Mechanisms of P release and sorption reactions with soil particles are complex, considering either the types of adsorbents or the numerous kinetic expressions that have been used to describe these processes. Several reports, mainly from temperate soils, have dealt with the kinetics of P uptake by anion-exchange resins in soils, but the kinetic interpretations are still conflicting. Amer et al. (1955) reported that P adsorption by anion-exchange resin from soil solution could be described by two or three simultaneous first-order rate processes, while Griffin and Jurinak (1974) reported pseudo first-order reaction. Vaidyanathan and Talibudden (1970) showed that P uptake rate by ion-exchange resins in
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In the last 15 years, there has been a considerable amount of research on the use and adaptation of mixed ion-exchange resins for routine soil testing for macro- and micronutrients (Raij et al., 1986; Yang and Skogley, 1992; Agbenin et al., 1999). The purpose of a cation sink in mixed extraction of available P is to stimulate the dissolution of soluble and some sparingly soluble P compounds (Robinson et al., 1992) which, otherwise, would not readily participate in phosphate exchange reactions with ion-exchange resins, but are nonetheless important for residual and long-term P availability in soils. Soils testing and calibration with ion-exchange resins for fertilizer-P recommendation and management can be improved if the kinetic processes that regulate P release from the soils and uptake by exchange resins are understood.

The kinetics of P release and sorption in soils is a subject of importance in soil and environmental sciences primarily because P uptake by plants spans over time. Thus, kinetic information is required to properly characterize the P supplying capacity of soils, to design fertilizer-P management to optimize efficiency, to reduce environmental pollution, and to develop guidelines for the disposal of P-rich wastes onto the land (Skopp, 1986). Another reason for kinetic study is to obtain information on reaction mechanisms (Skopp, 1986).

Mechanisms of P release and sorption reactions with soil particles are complex, considering either the types of adsorbents or the numerous kinetic expressions that have been used to describe these processes. Several reports, mainly from temperate soils, have dealt with the kinetics of P uptake by anion-exchange resins in soils, but the kinetic interpretations are still conflicting. Amer et al. (1955) reported that P adsorption by anion-exchange resin from soil solution could be described by two or three simultaneous first-order rate processes, while Griffin and Jurinak (1974) reported pseudo first-order reaction. Vaidyanathan and Talibudden (1970) showed that P uptake rate by ion-exchange resins in

some British soils was limited by intraparticle diffusion, an idea rejected by Bache and Ireland (1980), who reported that P adsorption by anion-exchange resins in the chloride or bicarbonate form did not conform to intraparticle diffusion-controlled reaction in other British soils. Dalal (1974) concluded no mechanistic interpretation that the kinetics of P uptake by anion-exchange resins in some tropical soils followed a fractional rate equation. Soldano and Boyd (1953) indicated that P uptake rate in a free electrolyte solution was controlled by several simultaneous processes of film diffusion or first-order rate chemical kinetics at the surface. Yang and Skogley (1992), using resin bags, concluded that diffusion appeared to be the rate-limiting step but did not explicitly distinguish the nature of diffusional limitation. The objectives of this study were to assess the diffusional kinetics and energy relations of P release from tropical soils determined by mixed resins.

** THEORY**

In soil–resin–solution systems, the adsorption of P from soils by ion-exchange resins can be limited by diffusion or chemical exchange reactions. Two types of diffusional limitations might occur: (i) diffusion through a bounding-film around the resin bead and soil particle, or (ii) diffusion within the resin particle or soil particle (intraparticle diffusion). If diffusion through a bounding-film around the resin or soil particle is rate-limiting, the rate of transfer across the film can be described by Eq. [1] (Agbenin and Raij, 1999) originally derived by Boyd et al. (1947).

\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = k_d(Q_\infty - Q)
\]

where \(k_d\) is the apparent rate of diffusion across the film, \(Q\) is the amount of P adsorbed by resin at time \(t\), and \(Q_\infty\) is equilibrium adsorption. Data of P uptake by ion-exchange resins obeying Eq. [1] does not conclusively exclude chemical exchange reaction as the rate-limiting step since an equivalent expression can be derived from the law of mass action. For example, consider a monovalent exchange reaction between \(\text{Cl}^-\)-charged resin and \(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-\) in solution as follows:

\[
\text{R}^-\text{Cl}^- + \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^- \leftrightarrow \text{Cl}^- + \text{R}^-\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4\ 
\]

if \(m_{\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4}\) and \(m_{\text{Cl}^-}\) are the concentrations of \(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-\) and \(\text{Cl}^-\) in solution, and \(n_{\text{R}^-\text{Cl}^-}\) and \(n_{\text{R}^-\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4}\) are the moles of \(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-\) and \(\text{Cl}^-\) adsorbed by resin, following Boyd et al. (1947), the amount of P uptake by the resin at time \(t\) can be written as:

\[
n_{\text{R}^-\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4} = \frac{k_1m_{\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4} + E}{k_mn_{\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4} + k_rn_{\text{Cl}^-}}[1 - \exp(-k_1t)] = Q
\]

where \(E = n_{\text{R}^-\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4} + n_{\text{R}^-\text{Cl}^-}\) and \(k_r\) and \(k_1\) are the forward and backward rate, respectively. At equilibrium adsorption \(Q_\infty\), Eq. [3] can be simplified (Boyd et al., 1947) to:

\[
Q - Q = Q_\infty \exp(-k_1t)
\]

where \(k_e\) is the exchange rate constant. Note that Eq. [4] is the integrated form of Eq. [1]; hence kinetic data obeying Eq. [1] does not exclude exchange reaction as the rate-limiting step.

Theory of intraparticle diffusion of ions in ion-exchange resins was well developed by Boyd et al. (1947). The fractional equilibrium adsorption of P diffusing through a spherical particle of radius \(r_p\) with initially uniform concentration, and with the surface concentration of the diffusing ion assumed constant can be described by Eq. [5].

\[
\frac{Q}{Q_\infty} = 1 - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left(\frac{Dn^2t}{r_p^2}\right)
\]

where \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient, \(n\) is any natural number, \(\pi\) is a constant, and all other terms are as defined above. If the concentration of the diffusing ion at the surface is assumed constant, one form of solution to Fick’s second law applicable to diffusion in solids is the parabolic expression (Crank, 1975; Aharoni and Sparks, 1991).

\[
\frac{Q}{Q_\infty} = k_d^{1/2}
\]

** MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Soils**

Four soils from the State of Sao Paulo, Brazil, were used for the study. Selected properties of the soils are given in Table 1. The soil pH was determined in 0.01 \(M\) \(\text{CaCl}_2\). Exchangeable Ca, Mg, and K were displaced by neutral ammonium acetate. Calcium and Mg in the extracts were determined by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) and K by flame photometry. Organic C was determined by dichromate oxidation technique, while cation-exchange capacity was the summation of exchangeable bases and total exchangeable acidity (\(\text{H}_+ + \text{Al}\)). Details of analytical methods are described in Page et al. (1982). Oxalate Fe (Fe(Ox)) and dithionite citrate bicarbonate Fe (Fe(DC)) were determined by the methods described by McKeeague and Day (1966) and Mehra and Jackson (1960). Some properties of the experimental soils and their classification in U.S. soil taxonomy at the order level are given in Table 1.

**Resin Characteristics and Experimental Details**

The ion-exchange resins were a strong base anion-exchange resin (Amberlite IRA-400) and a strong acid cation-exchange resin (Amberlite IRA-120) (Rohm and Haas, Philadelphia, PA). The Amberlite IRA-400 has an anion-exchange capacity of 140 cmol, dm\(^{-3}\) and Amberlite-120 has a cation-exchange capacity of 195 cmol, dm\(^{-3}\). The cation- and anion-exchange resins have one monofunctional active site, each made of N-alkyl group for the anion exchange resin, and sulfonic acid

---

Table 1. Selected physicochemical properties of the soils used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil†</th>
<th>pH‡</th>
<th>OC‡</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>CEC‡</th>
<th>Fe(_a)</th>
<th>Fe(_d)</th>
<th>Av. P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil 1: Oxisol</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>80 (103)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 2: Inceptisol</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>11 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 3: Ultisol</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 4: Ultisol</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>50 (72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Classification of Soil 2 in U.S. soil taxonomy is uncertain. It is only an approximation from the Brazilian classification system.
‡ Values of pH, OC, and CEC were from Agbenin and Raij (1999).
§ Available P in parentheses were determined by the Melich-1 method.
group for the cation-exchange resin with standard crosslinking. The resin particle size \((r_c)\) was 0.5 mm. The resin was presaturated with \(\text{Na}^+\) and \(\text{HCO}_3^-\) following the procedure described in details by Agbenin and Raij (1999) and Agbenin et al. (1999).

Bicarbonate charged resin buffered at pH 8.5 has been the standard extraction method for available P since 1983 (Raij et al., 1986). We chose \(\text{HCO}_3^-\) charged resins for P extraction, apart from their giving strong correlation with plant uptake and responses to applied P in Brazilian soils, because \(\text{HCO}_3^-\) ions buffer the soil suspension against pH fluctuation during the extraction process.

Twenty-five cubic centimeters of deionized water were added to 2.5 cm\(^3\) of air-dried and sieved <2-mm soil. A glass marble (1.8-cm diam.) was added. The flask was stoppered and agitated for 15 min in a rotatory motion shaker at 220 cycles per minute to disaggregate the soils with a view to facilitating separation of resins from soil particles. The glass marble was removed and 2.5 cm\(^3\) of air-dried and sieved soil–resin suspension was transferred to the shaker, while the stationary set (nonshaking) was left on a laboratory bench.

Initial kinetic runs were made up to 96 h at a laboratory temperature of 22 ± 2°C. The soil–resin suspension was transferred with jet stream of water into a 0.4-mm polyester netting sieve to separate resin from soil. Phosphate sorption by mixed ion-exchange resins was also carried out at 298, 308, and 333 K in a thermostatically controlled water following the procedure outlined above, except that agitation was less vigorous in the waterbath, and an 8-h time scale was chosen for this experiment to avoid leaving the waterbath on overnight for safety reasons. The resin was rinsed with deionized water to remove any soil particles and transferred into a clean polystyrene flask where the resin particle size \((r_c)\) for the cation-exchange resin was determined (Table 2). The value of \(k_d\) \(\text{for each fractional equilibrium attainment \((Q/Q_e)\)}\) can be calculated from the rate constant \([\text{Eq. } 9]\) or from its relation with \(\Delta G^0\) \([\text{Eq. } 10]\).

\[
k_d = \frac{kT}{h} \exp(-\Delta G^0/RT) \tag{8}
\]

where \(k\) is Bolzmann constant, \(h\) is the Planck's constant, and \(R\) and \(T\) have their usual meanings. From the Eyring rate theory (Laidler, 1965), the entropy of activation \(\Delta S^0\) can be calculated from the rate constant \([\text{Eq. } 9]\) or from its relation with \(\Delta G^0\) \([\text{Eq. } 10]\).

\[
\Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T\Delta S^0 \tag{9}
\]

where \(\Delta H^0\) is the enthalpy of activation calculated from its relation with \(E_a\) \([\text{Eq. } 11]\) as given by Griffin and Jurinak (1974) or with \(\Delta G^0\) and \(\Delta S^0\) \([\text{Eq. } 10]\).

\[
\Delta G^0 = E_a - RT \tag{11}
\]

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Time-Dependent Phosphate Release**

With the initial kinetic runs up to 96 h, equilibrium P uptake by the resins was slowly approached by 20 h. With the nonshaking experiment, P uptake by the mixed ion resins continued, but not significantly so after 32 h (Fig. 1). Before 15 min, there was no measurable P desorption with the nonshaking batch especially for Soil 1 and Soil 4 in contrast to the shaking. On the basis of these initial results, 5 min to 24-h reaction period was selected for shaking, and 15 min to 32 h for nonshaking batch. The equilibrium release \((Q_e)\) used for our analysis was taken to be the P uptake between 24 and 96 h with shaking, and between 32 and 96 h without shaking. Shaking increased the desorption of labile P in Soil 1 and Soil 4, but had no substantial effect in Soil 2 and Soil 3 as determined by resin P uptake.

The P release patterns determined by the mixed ion-exchange resins were better modeled by the parabolic expression (Fig. 2) than by film-diffusion equation as judged from \(R^2\) and SE values (Table 3). Since linear relations were found between P sorbed by the mixed resins and the square root of time, the implied boundary conditions for deriving the parabolic expression can be
considered fulfilled (Bruemmer et al., 1988), and the kinetics of the P uptake process was most likely limited by intraparticle diffusion. However, conformity to a parabolic model cannot conclusively support intraparticle diffusion mechanism (Skopp, 1986).

The fairly constant values of \( D \pi^2/r_s^2 \) for experimental \( Q/Q_\infty \) values up to 0.80 (Table 4), especially under shaking conditions further supported intraparticle diffusion mechanism. The mean diffusion coefficients \( (D) \) ranged from 2.81 to \( 4.71 \times 10^{-13} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) for the shaking experiment, and 1.42 to \( 2.68 \times 10^{-13} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) for nonshaking (Table 4). These \( D \) values were 10\(^6\) times lower than the diffusion of P in solution, but are fairly consistent with P diffusion in soil solids ranging from \( 10^{-13} \) and \( 10^{-14} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) (Nye, 1979). The \( D \) values also compared favorably with 0.9 to \( 4.8 \times 10^{-13} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) for P diffusion coefficients in mixed Amberlite IRA-Cl anion exchange resins (Rohm and Haas) and Zeocarb 225-Na cation exchange resin (Zeotech, Albuquerque, NM) in United Kingdom soils (Vaidyanathan and Talibudeen, 1970), but were, however, lower than the self-diffusion coefficient of P \( (5.70 \times 10^{-12} \text{ to } 8.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}) \) in Dowex-2 ion exchanger resins (Dow Chemical, Midland, MI) in a free electrolyte solution (Soldano and Boyd, 1953) probably because of the viscosity of soil solution compared with a free P solution. Further, the counter diffusion of P \( (\text{HPO}_4^{2-}, \text{HCO}_3^-) \) ions and \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) in ion-exchange resins will depend on the relative ion selectivities of the resins.

In a soil–resin–solution system, as distinct from a resin–solution system, the difficult question to resolve is whether the intraparticle diffusion limitation is within the resins or soil aggregates or particles. The data from this study did not directly answer this question. However, a number of inferences can be derived from the data. For \( D \pi^2/r_s^2 \) to be constant for each experimental \( Q/Q_\infty \) value (Table 4), \( r_s \) has to be fairly constant, as would be expected for the resin beads compared with soil aggregates or particles. If diffusion within the resins was a rate-limiting step, the rate parameter \( D \pi^2/r_s^2 \) (Table 4) and or \( k_d \) (Table 3) should not vary drastically with shaking (Petruzzelli et al., 1991). Actually, in Soil 1 and Soil 4, \( k_d \) or \( D \pi^2/r_s^2 \) values were reasonably close for the shaking and nonshaking. Thus, the rate-limiting step, in this case, appeared to be intraparticle diffusion within the resins.

The deviation in \( D \pi^2/r_s^2 \) or \( k_d \) for Soil 2 and Soil 3
between shaking and nonshaking might be due to higher initial rates of P release than the rate of diffusion across the bounding film at the resin exchanger surfaces. This would make film diffusion rate limiting or the rate-controlling step at the early stages of the exchange reactions, especially at $t < 2$ h (Sposito, 1989; Helfferich, 1962) until an equilibrium between the rate of release and diffusion across the films was established. Thereafter, intraparticle diffusion apparently became the rate-limiting step in the exchange reactions.

Under nonshaking conditions, however, both film- and intraparticle diffusion can be rate limiting for ion-exchange reaction (Ogwada and Sparks, 1986) because of the development of large films around the resin particles. Implicit in the particle diffusion equation [Eq. 5] is that the rate parameter $D \pi r_e^2$ determines the time to achieve a given fraction of equilibrium ($F = Q/Q_\infty$), which varies inversely with $r_e$ (Boyd et al., 1947). Under nonshaking conditions, $r_e$ would tend to increase because of the bounding films around the resin beads, and thus reduce $D \pi r_e^2$ for the nonshaking in contrast to shaking conditions.

### Activation Energies and Thermodynamic Parameters

The temperature-dependent P release rates plotted as a function of the reciprocal of absolute temperature ($1/T$) yielded Arrhenius linear relations (Fig. 3). The activation energies $E_a$ deduced from the slopes of the Arrhenius plots are given in Table 5. Activation energy is a measure of the energy to be overcome, and thus can be interpreted as the relative index of the binding strength of phosphate ions. Thus, the relatively low $E_a$ for Soil 2 and Soil 3 indicated relatively less rigid binding and rapid release compared to Soil 1 and Soil 4.

The magnitude of $E_a$ is a useful parameter for differentiating intraparticle from film diffusion-controlled ion-exchange reactions (Boyd et al., 1947; Sparks, 1985). Activation energies ranging from 17 to 21 kJ mol$^{-1}$ suggest a film diffusion-controlled ion-exchange reaction, while $E_a$ ranging from 21 to 42 suggests an intraparticle diffusion mechanism (Boyd et al., 1947; Sparks, 1985). The $E_a$ values in this study are thus consistent with intraparticle diffusion mechanism.

The $\Delta H^0$ of activation is a measure of the amount

### Table 3. Goodness-of-fit statistics and the rate parameters† of three kinetic models fitted to phosphate release determined by phosphate sorption by mixed-ion exchange resins from some tropical soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Film diffusion model (Eq. [1])</th>
<th>Apparent first model (Eq. [4])</th>
<th>Parabolic model (Eq. [6])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$ SE $k_2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$R^2$ SE $k_2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$R^2$ SE $k_2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonshaking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 1</td>
<td>0.986 0.060 1.69</td>
<td>0.986 0.060 1.69</td>
<td>0.996 0.027 2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 2</td>
<td>0.984 0.058 0.97</td>
<td>0.984 0.048 0.97</td>
<td>0.992 0.041 1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 3</td>
<td>0.963 0.070 1.19</td>
<td>0.963 0.070 1.19</td>
<td>0.993 0.035 1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 4</td>
<td>0.939 0.097 1.94</td>
<td>0.939 0.097 1.94</td>
<td>0.997 0.024 2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 1</td>
<td>0.994 0.025 2.15</td>
<td>0.994 0.025 1.69</td>
<td>0.999 0.020 3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 2</td>
<td>0.975 0.053 2.97</td>
<td>0.975 0.053 0.97</td>
<td>0.997 0.025 2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 3</td>
<td>0.952 0.075 2.44</td>
<td>0.952 0.075 1.19</td>
<td>0.990 0.047 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil 4</td>
<td>0.919 0.098 2.86</td>
<td>0.919 0.098 1.94</td>
<td>0.990 0.049 2.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Values of $k_i$ and $k_e$ are in mg P dm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and $k_e$ for parabolic model is mg dm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. All $R^2$ values significant at $P = 0.01$. 

### Table 4. The fractional equilibrium $F$, the rate parameter $(D \pi r_e^2) \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, and the diffusion coefficient $D \times 10^{-13}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ of the particle diffusion model (Eq. [5]) for describing the kinetics of P release determined by P sorption by mixed cation-anion exchange resins from tropical soils under shaking and non-shaking condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil 1</th>
<th>Soil 2</th>
<th>Soil 3</th>
<th>Soil 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time (h)</td>
<td>$Q/Q_\infty$ $D \pi r_e^2$</td>
<td>$Q/Q_\infty$ $D \pi r_e^2$</td>
<td>$Q/Q_\infty$ $D \pi r_e^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>$D$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.15 1.12 2.84</td>
<td>0.18 1.76 4.46</td>
<td>0.17 1.56 3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17 0.78 1.98</td>
<td>0.24 1.60 4.05</td>
<td>0.27 2.06 5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.24 0.80 2.03</td>
<td>0.32 1.50 3.80</td>
<td>0.32 1.50 3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.36 0.97 2.46</td>
<td>0.45 1.63 4.13</td>
<td>0.41 1.31 3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.49 1.00 2.53</td>
<td>0.61 1.74 4.41</td>
<td>0.51 1.10 2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.71 1.28 3.24</td>
<td>0.81 2.04 5.07</td>
<td>0.71 1.28 3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.87 1.61 4.59</td>
<td>0.95 2.51 7.12</td>
<td>0.92 2.41 6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonshaking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.13 0.90 2.28</td>
<td>0.18 1.76 4.46</td>
<td>0.14 1.04 2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17 0.78 1.98</td>
<td>0.22 1.34 3.38</td>
<td>0.17 0.78 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.27 1.04 2.63</td>
<td>0.25 1.12 2.83</td>
<td>0.20 0.54 1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.36 0.98 2.48</td>
<td>0.37 1.04 2.63</td>
<td>0.24 0.40 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.47 0.90 2.28</td>
<td>0.42 0.69 1.76</td>
<td>0.38 0.55 1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.67 1.13 2.86</td>
<td>0.60 0.83 2.10</td>
<td>0.49 0.50 1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.76 1.01 2.56</td>
<td>0.66 0.72 1.82</td>
<td>0.59 0.53 1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.89 1.75 4.42</td>
<td>0.74 0.76 1.91</td>
<td>0.71 0.64 1.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Values of $D \pi r_e^2$ in the region of $F > 0.80$ are unreliable because of large experimental errors in this region (Boyd et al., 1947).
of heat energy gained or lost in transferring P from the soil through solution to form an activated surface of the high activity of Ca$^{2+}$ ions as deduced from the equilibrium pH. Ionic strength increases from H$_2$PO$_4^-$ to HPO$_4^{2-}$; hence an increasingly positive entropy change might be required to partially overcome the increased activation energy in order to increase the self-diffusion coefficient of HPO$_4^{2-}$ (Soldano and Boyd, 1953). Thus, the possible occurrence of positive $\Delta S^{\circ}$ with HPO$_4^{2-}$ diffusion would serve to make $\Delta S^{\circ}$ less negative and $\Delta H^{\circ}$ larger and more positive than those reported in Yang and Skogley (1992).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Phosphorus release kinetics from the soils determined by P sorption to mixed resins conformed to diffusion models. The magnitude of $E_a$ was greater than $E_c$ for film diffusion controlled exchange reaction, but consistent with intraparticle diffusion mechanism. Our results also suggested that under nonshaking conditions, both
film diffusion and intraparticle diffusion limitation seemed to occur, while under shaking or agitation intraparticle diffusion appeared to be the rate-limiting step.

Intraparticle diffusion limitation in the resins with shaking, which is the standard resin P extraction method for fertilizer-P calibration and recommendation in these soils, implies that there can be no single value for resin extractable in a soil without specifying the type of resins because of possible differences in resin physical characteristics.
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