Case studies in international tobacco surveillance: cigarette smuggling in Brazil

O Shafey, V Cokkinides, T M Cavalcante, M Teixeira, C Vianna and M Thun

doi:10.1136/tc.11.3.215

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://tc.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/11/3/215

These include:

**References**
This article cites 5 articles, 3 of which can be accessed free at:
http://tc.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/11/3/215#BIBL

**Rapid responses**
You can respond to this article at:

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

**Topic collections**
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- Tobacco use (148 articles)

**Notes**

To order reprints of this article go to:
http://www.bmjournals.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to _Tobacco Control_ go to:
http://www.bmjournals.com/subscriptions/
CASE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL TOBACCO SURVEILLANCE: CIGARETTE SMUGGLING IN BRAZIL

O Shafey, V Cokkinides, T M Cavalcante, M Teixeira, C Vianna, M Thun

Objective: This article is the first in a series of international case studies developed by the American Cancer Society to illustrate use of publicly available surveillance data for regional tobacco control.

Design: A descriptive analysis of Brazil and Paraguay cigarette production and trade data from official sources.

Methods: Per capita cigarette consumption for Brazil and its neighbour was calculated from 1970 to 1998 using data on production, imports, and exports from NATIONS, the National Tobacco Information Online System.

Results: A 63% decrease was observed in the estimate of per capita consumption of cigarettes in Brazil between 1986 and 1998 (from 1913 cigarettes per person in 1986 to 714 cigarettes per person in 1998) and a 16-fold increase in Paraguay was observed during the same period (from 678 cigarettes per person in 1986 to 10,929 cigarettes per person in 1998). Following Brazil's 1999 passage of a 150% cigarette export tax, cigarette exports fell 89% and Brazil's estimated per capita consumption rose to 1990 levels (based on preliminary data). Per capita consumption in Paraguay also fell to 1990 levels.

Conclusions: These trends coincide with local evidence that large volumes of cigarettes manufactured in Brazil for export to Paraguay are smuggled back and consumed as tax-free contraband in Brazil. It is hoped that this case study will draw wider public attention to the problems that smuggling presents for tobacco control, help identify other countries confronting similar issues, and stimulate effective interventions.
the same health warnings that appear on cigarette packets and in advertisements. More stringent advertising regulations became effective in January 2002, requiring graphic anti-smoking images on cigarette packs and prohibiting the use of deceptive descriptive terms such as “light” and “suave”. In 2003, Brazil will ban all sports related cigarette sponsorship. Brazil and Canada exemplify the most advanced cigarette marketing restrictions in the world.

National smoking prevalence surveys in Brazil were conducted in 1989, 1995, and 1999 but because different sampling methods and definitions of smoking were used, the actual trend in adult smoking prevalence is unclear. Two 1989 surveys reported estimates of 32.6% and 23.9% for overall adult smoking prevalence. A 1995 survey estimated Brazil’s cigarette smoking prevalence at 38.2% among men and 29.3% among women. A 1999 national survey reported that 37% of men and 24% of females were cigarette smokers. Higher smoking prevalence rates are reported in Brazil’s rural areas and among lower income groups.

Recognising Brazil’s growing role in the international tobacco market and the high prevalence of smoking among Brazilian adults, we examined publicly available data on cigarette production and trade in Brazil from 1970 to 1998. We developed this report in collaboration with tobacco control experts in Brazil with the goal of assisting local, regional, and international efforts to strengthen tobacco control interventions.

METHODS

Trade data on cigarette manufacturing, imports, and exports are compiled by the World Health Organization and the United Nations Statistics Division and disseminated through NATIONS. Per capita cigarette consumption was estimated for Brazil and neighbouring countries from 1970 to 1998 using the following formula:

\[
\text{Per capita cigarette consumption} = \frac{\text{annual cigarette production} + \text{imports} - \text{exports}}{\text{population age 15 years and older}}
\]

RESULTS

From 1970 to 1986, per capita cigarette consumption in Brazil fluctuated between 1266 and 2328 cigarettes (table 1). During the 13 year interval from 1986 to 1998, Brazil’s estimated per capita consumption of cigarettes, as derived from production and trade data, decreased by 63% whereas the estimate of per capita cigarette consumption in Paraguay increased over 16-fold.

Most of the apparent decrease in Brazil’s per capita consumption during the same interval reflects the more than 8000-fold increase in Brazil’s cigarette exports between 1988 (when exports represented less than 1% of cigarette production) and 1998 (when exports represented more than 51% of production) (fig 1). Brazil’s exports of cigarettes increased from 11 metric tonnes in 1988 to over 87 000 metric tonnes in 1998. The principal destinations for Brazil’s cigarette exports were Belgium and Paraguay, but the final destination where the cigarettes are ultimately consumed cannot be determined from publicly available data.

Figure 2 depicts the large increase in cigarette imports into the neighbouring country of Paraguay during the 1990s. If those imports were, in fact, consumed in Paraguay, the estimated per capita consumption of cigarettes would have increased from 678 in 1986 to an astounding 10 929 in 1998.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Brazil exports</th>
<th>Brazil, per capita consumption</th>
<th>Paraguay imports</th>
<th>Paraguay, per capita consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>1266</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1299</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>1180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2328</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2258</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>1341</td>
<td>1485</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>1228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>1555</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>1289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>1856</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>1318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1788</td>
<td>1556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>2542</td>
<td>1925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>1422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>1059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>1572</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1715</td>
<td>1741</td>
<td>1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>10926</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>1632</td>
<td>1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>12435</td>
<td>1674</td>
<td>1788</td>
<td>1136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>25774</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>4032</td>
<td>1930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>30375</td>
<td>1369</td>
<td>3747</td>
<td>1747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>33848</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>3668</td>
<td>1344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>57818</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>9025</td>
<td>3297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>63417</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>2281</td>
<td>8089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>80262</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>33762</td>
<td>11598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>87313</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>36937</td>
<td>12267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>87169</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>35452</td>
<td>11385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>8058</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>35452</td>
<td>10152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cigarette smuggling in Brazil

...Paraguay. In some cases, it is suspected that cigarettes...domestic market of 145 billion cigarettes per year. The main...Brazil's contraband cigarettes appears to be neigh...and the cover of the MERCOSUL customs agreement.

Figure 1 Brazil cigarette consumption and exports, 1985 to 2000. Source: NATIONS


Figure 3 Consumption of contraband cigarettes, Brazil 1992 to 2000. Source: Brazil Federal Tax Bureau.

DISCUSSION
In 1988, less than 1% of Brazil's domestic cigarette production was exported. By 1996, official statistics reported that 51% of Brazil's cigarette production was being exported.

This large apparent increase in Brazil's cigarette exports during the 1990s created an appearance of declining domestic consumption. Strong evidence suggests that the bulk of Brazilian cigarettes ostensibly exported to Paraguay in the 1990s were either never exported or were smuggled out of Paraguay to neighbouring countries, including back to Brazil. This large scale cigarette smuggling could account for the large anomalous fluctuations in Brazil and Paraguay's per capita consumption, as derived from official trade data.

Figure 3 illustrates the explosive growth in consumption of contraband cigarettes in Brazil between 1992 and 2000 as estimated by the Federal Tax Bureau of the Brazil government. Peaking at 58 billion cigarettes, smuggled contraband captured 37% of the domestic cigarette market in 1998.

ostensibly exported to Paraguay never left Brazil. In other cases, smugglers exploited differential excise taxes, buying Brazilian cigarettes in Paraguay where taxes are under 20%, compared with Brazil's 70% tax in 1999. Legal cigarettes, upon which all taxes have been paid, sold for 1.20 Brazilian real (US$0.56) per pack while an illegal pack could be purchased for a 25–58% discount in 2001, between 0.50 and 0.90 Brazilian real (US$0.24–0.42).

In 1999, Brazil collected US$5.5 billion in tobacco taxes. Brazilian tobacco exports and tobacco products totalled US$ 1 billion, representing 2.5% of total Brazilian exports. Brazilian cigarettes falsely exported to Paraguay and smuggled back to Brazil remained inexpensive to Brazilian consumers. This large scale illegal trade deprived the Brazilian government of vital revenues estimated at $500 million in 1998 alone.

Excess deaths and other adverse health effects attributable to the adverse health effects of lower price, smuggled cigarettes are smoking initiation, intensity and duration in Brazil have not been fully calculated.

To address the large scale smuggling problem, Brazil imposed a 150% export tax in December 1999 to prevent the false export of cigarettes to Paraguay and their subsequent re-sale in Brazil. This new tax structure resulted in an 89% drop in reported Brazilian cigarette exports from 6.3 billion sticks in 1999 to 700 million sticks in 2000.

...to circumvent Brazil's 1999 cigarette export tax. Paraguay had only two cigarette manufacturing plants in 1995, but within four years an additional 17 were constructed. Paraguay currently boasts 22 cigarette manufacturing plants with seven more under construction. Brazilian tax authorities suspect that a significant proportion of Paraguay's cigarette production is smuggled across the border and consumed in Brazil.

In October 2000, Brazil extended its 150% export tax to raw tobacco leaf used by cigarette manufacturing plants in neighbouring Paraguay and Uruguay, but not to tobacco leaf exported to Argentina, Chile, and Ecuador. In March 2001, Uruguay's Monte Paz S/A tobacco company encouraged the Uruguay government to object to Brazil's differential export tax on tobacco leaf under the common tariff terms of the regional MERCOSUL customs union. In October 2001, a MERCOSUL adjudication panel upheld Uruguay's complaint against Brazil's export tax policy for raw tobacco, but Brazil has promised to appeal the decision to an international arbitration forum. Brazil argues that public health imperatives to control the illegal cigarette trade take precedence over the tobacco industry's attempts to evade regulation under cover of the MERCOSUL customs agreement.

www.tobaccocontrol.com
Cigarette smuggling is a widespread problem undermining tobacco control efforts by providing cheap unregulated cigarettes that stimulate demand while simultaneously decreasing tax revenues needed for tobacco control and health promotion. Globally, smuggled cigarettes are estimated to account for 6–8.5% of total consumption, but in Brazil smuggled cigarettes were recently estimated to account for 31–37% of the total market. This examination of Brazil and Paraguay’s 1970 to 1998 cigarette trade data and recent legislative and market changes highlights the importance of international cooperation to control cigarette smuggling.

What this paper adds

Established at every wholesale transaction to prevent large scale diversion to illegal markets:

- Label the origin (manufacturing plant) and destination (country) on every pack
- Require health warnings in the language(s) of the destination market
- Require international cooperation between law enforcement authorities prosecuting organised smuggling and money laundering operations.

Tobacco control is an indispensable tool in the control of cancer. Brazil has made significant strides in curtailing deceptive marketing practices, restricting youth access, and promoting cessation, but the deleterious effects of cigarette smuggling require international attention for effective control. This analysis suggests that strict international controls are required within the Framework Convention for Tobacco Control to prevent multinational tobacco companies from circumventing national tobacco control efforts by engaging in large scale international cigarette smuggling.

Recommendations

Cigarette smuggling poses a global problem for tobacco control that must be addressed by international agreements that effectively regulate the international cigarette trade. Smuggled cigarettes are estimated to account for 6–8.5% of total cigarette consumption. Cigarette smuggling undermines public health and efforts to control tobacco consumption by reducing prices, increasing demand, and evading distribution restrictions and government taxes. Smuggled cigarettes compete with legal cigarettes, lowering the price and encouraging consumption. Youth and low income groups disproportionately affected because cigarettes are particularly price sensitive among those groups.

International effort is required to eradicate cigarette smuggling. Proposed measures include the following:

- Ban duty-free cigarette sales
- Harmonise cigarette tax rates between neighbouring countries and devise effective penalties to negate financial incentives for cigarette smuggling
- Secure the distribution chain and require accountability; producers and distributors should be held accountable for sales to legitimate dealers
- Require records for every transaction in the wholesale distribution chain; a clear chain of custody should be

†MERCOSUR, the “Southern Common Market”, is a regional customs union between Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay established following the Treaty of Asuncion, signed on 26 March 1991. “Four-plus-one” free trade agreements between the MERCOSUR countries and Chile and Bolivia took effect 1 October 1996, and 1 April 1997, respectively. MERCOSUR is currently negotiating association arrangements with other Andean countries and with Mexico, and MERCOSUR members are participating as one body in negotiations over a free trade area of the Americas. MERCOSUR’s goal is the elimination of customs duties and non-tariff restrictions on the movement of goods between member states, the adoption of a common strategy for external trade, and the coordination of macroeconomic policies. URL: http://www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/argentina/policy.html

REFERENCES

1 National Tobacco Information Online System (NATIONS). URL: http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/nations.htm
4 Algargi E, Meneses AM, Achutti AC. Lung cancer in Brazil. Semin Oncol 2001; 28: 143–52
Cigarette smuggling in Brazil

14 Penteado C. Cigarette packs to carry graphic anti-smoking photos in Brazil. Ad Age Global, 5 December 2001. URL: http://www.adageglobal.com/cgi-bin/daily.pl?daily_id=6343&post_date=2001-12-05
17 Murad JE, Salgado KS. O uso do tabaco e bebidas alcoólicas no Brasil. Medicina Social 2000; March:166.
32 World Customs Office, Regional Intelligence Liaison Office for Western Europe. Lasso 2000 review of cigarette smuggling in Europe. URL: http://www.asl.org.uk/html/smuggling.html

www.tobaccocontrol.com